



Identification and Prioritization of Effective Factors in the Model of Emerging Sports with a Perspective on the Development of Mass Sports

Omid Ghomi¹, Ali Mohammad Safania^{2*}, Abolfazl Farahani³, Reza Nikabakhsh⁴, Mohsen Baqerian Farahabadi⁵

¹ Ph.D. Student of Sport Management, Department of Sport Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

² Professor in Sport Management, Department of Sport Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

³ Professor of Sports Management, Department of Sports Sciences, Payam Noor University, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Assistant Professor in Sport Management, Department of Sport Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

⁵ Associate Professor, Department of Sport Management, Faculty of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, South Tehran Branch Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: a.m.safania@gmail.com

E d i t o r	R e v i e w e r s
Khadijeh Irandoust Associate Professor, Department of Sport Sciences, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran irandoust@ikiu.ac.ir	Reviewer 1: Ali Choori Assistant Professor of Sports Management, Faculty of Humanities and Sports Sciences, Gonbadkavos University, Gonbadkavos, Iran. Email: choori@gonbad.ac.ir Reviewer 2: Masoud Mirmoezi Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran. Email: massoudmirmoezi@live.com

1. Round 1

1.1 Reviewer 1

Date: 20 August 2023

Reviewer:

To enhance depth, explicitly connect your research question to broader societal or academic debates. How does understanding these factors contribute to sports science, policy-making, or society at large?

Increase the depth of your literature review by critically engaging with the sources. Instead of just summarizing previous work, discuss its limitations, how your research addresses these gaps, and the implications of differing viewpoints in the literature.

Provide a more detailed explanation of your methodology for identifying and prioritizing the factors. This should include the criteria for selection, the process of analysis, and any tools or software used. Transparency in your methodology will enhance the reliability of your results.

The document could benefit from careful proofreading to correct any grammatical or typographical errors. Furthermore, ensure that the writing is concise and jargon is minimized or clearly explained to make the paper accessible to a broader audience.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

1.2 Reviewer 2

Date: 22 August 2023

Reviewer: The overall structure of your argument is coherent and logical. A minor revision to improve coherence could involve reviewing transitions between sections to ensure they seamlessly connect the literature review, methodology, findings, and conclusions, reinforcing the narrative flow.

Incorporate the most recent studies to ensure your literature review is up-to-date.

Review and refine the transitions between sections for smoother narrative flow.

Ensure all figures and tables have clear, descriptive captions.

Briefly address the assumptions in your analysis and potential limitations of your study, offering insights into their implications for your findings.

Conduct a thorough final proofreading session to ensure the text is free from errors and the language is as clear and precise as possible.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.