



# The Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and Mental Health with the Mediating Role of Quality of Life in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis

Behnaz Ataeii<sup>1</sup>, Shohre Sepehri<sup>2</sup>, Katayoun Tanhadoost<sup>3</sup>, Vafa Alirezaiee<sup>4</sup>, Mahtab Moraveji<sup>5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Master of Counseling and Guidance, Khomein Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Khomein, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Master of Clinical Psychology, Nayin Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Master's Degree, Family Counseling, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak

<sup>4</sup> Master's degree in clinical psychology, Roudehen Branch, Islamic Azad University, Roudehen, Iran

<sup>5</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Nursing, College of Nursing and Midwifery, Zanzan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanzan, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: mahtabmoraveji@gmail.com

---

Editor	Reviewers
Özgür Eken <sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey ozgureken86@gmail.com	Reviewer 1: Masoud Mirmoezi <sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran. Email: massoudmirmoezi@live.com Reviewer 2: Zahra Naghsh <sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. Email: z.naghsh@ut.ac.ir

---

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1 Reviewer 1

Date: 11 October 2023

Reviewer:

The abstract should succinctly summarize the research question, methodology, key findings, and implications. Ensure that each of these components is clearly presented and that the abstract mirrors the structure of the paper.

Reaffirm the validity and reliability of your measurement tools, particularly in your specific sample of MS patients.

Offer more detailed descriptions of the data collection process. How were participants approached? What was the setting of the data collection? How long did it take?

Enhance the presentation of your findings with charts or tables that summarize the key correlations and model outcomes visually.

Elaborate on the choice of statistical models and tests. Justify why Pearson correlation and structural equation modeling were chosen over other potential methods.

Discuss your findings in the broader context of existing research. How do your results compare with those of similar studies?

Expand on the practical implications of your findings for clinical practice or patient care.

Ensure that all references are up-to-date and relevant to the study's topics. Consider including more recent studies that have been published in the last 3-5 years.

Ensure consistency in how quality of life is defined and measured throughout the paper. Discuss any deviations or limitations in the definitions used.

Discuss different models of emotional intelligence and justify why the model used in the study was selected.

Provide more detail about the ethical considerations involved in your study. How was participant privacy protected?

Be more explicit about the limitations of your study. Discuss the implications of these limitations on the findings and future research directions.

Suggest specific areas for future research that build on your findings, such as longitudinal studies or experiments to test causality.

Be cautious in the interpretation of results. Avoid overstating the implications of the findings, especially given the sample size and method.

Ensure consistent use of technical terms and acronyms throughout the paper. Define terms when first used.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

## 1.2 Reviewer 2

Date: 15 October 2023

Reviewer:

Expand on the relevance of studying both emotional intelligence and quality of life in MS patients. How do these factors uniquely contribute to mental health outcomes compared to other chronic diseases?

Clearly articulate the gap in the literature your study addresses. This could involve a more detailed discussion of previous findings and their limitations.

Provide a stronger justification for using convenience sampling. Discuss any potential biases this method introduces and how they might affect the generalizability of the findings.

Improve the transitions between sections to enhance the flow of the manuscript. This helps in maintaining reader engagement and understanding.

Ensure that the conclusion succinctly summarizes the findings and their implications without introducing new information.

Check that all references are formatted consistently according to the journal's guidelines.

Conduct a thorough proofreading to correct any grammatical, spelling, or punctuation errors.

Double-check that the manuscript adheres to all of the journal's submission guidelines, including formatting and length constraints.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.