



Evaluation of Antioxidant Activities of Methanolic Extract Leaves of *Punica Granatum* Linn

Abdullahi. Ishaq¹, Uwais. Aminu², Abul Hasan. Bakibillah^{3,4*}

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Primeasia University, Banani, Dhaka-1213 Bangladesh

² Department of Pharmacy, Daffodil International University, Daffodil Smart City, Birulia, Savar, Dhaka-1216, Bangladesh

³ Department of Public Health, Daffodil International University, Daffodil Smart City, Birulia, Savar, Dhaka-1216, Bangladesh

⁴ Lecturer, Department of Public Health, German University Bangladesh (GUB), Gazipur-1702, Bangladesh

* Corresponding author email address: baki41-1113@diu.edu.bd

E d i t o r

Cain C. T. Clark
Faculty of Health, Education, and
Life Sciences, Birmingham City
University, Birmingham, B15 3TN,
U.K
scain.clark@coventry.ac.uk

R e v i e w e r s

Khadijeh Irandoust
Associate Professor, Department of Sport Sciences, Imam Khomeini International
University, Qazvin, Iran. Email: irandoust@ikiu.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1 Reviewer

Date: 15 September 2022

R1: This work can be published after revision. However, the authors should provide more information showing that the article suits the aim and scopes of the journal.

Response: Thank you for the comment. This type of research typically involves extracting bioactive compounds from the leaves, conducting various antioxidant assays, and evaluating the potential health benefits of these antioxidants. Such studies are important in the field of natural product research and can provide insights into the potential use of pomegranate leaves for their antioxidant properties. It's consistent with health scope.

R1: Write a brief description of your methodology before categorizing it.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and revised.

R1: Check the key words based on mesh standard.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and revised.

R1: This style is wrong and also you are required to use endnote according to the policies of this journal.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and revised.

R1: Check the key words based on mesh standard.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and revised.

R1: Write a brief description of your methodology before categorizing it.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and revised.

R1: Add the limitations of your study and further suggestions.

Response: Thank you for the comment. Checked and added.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: It requires no more review. Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.