

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

<https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html>



International Journal of Sport Studies for Health

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5812/intjssh.123038>

Published in: International Journal of Sport Studies for Health: 4(1); e123038

Peer Review Report for "Effects of Repeated Low-dose Caffeine Ingestion During a Night of Total Sleep Deprivation on Physical Performance and Psychological State in Young Recreational Runners"

Author(s): Amir Khcharem, Makram Souissi, Zouheir Sahnoun

Review Timeline:

▶ Submit Date:	28 Jan 2022
▶ Revised Date:	8 Feb 2022
▶ Accepted Date:	8 Feb 2022

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers, Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Masoud Mirmoezzi: Reviewer | **Revision (0)**

7 Feb 2022

Dear AE,

The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author. According to the comments, the document needs major revision.

Kind regards

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision (0)**

8 Feb 2022

Dear AE,

Please improve the work based on the attached file. Some revisions are needed as in-text citations by track changes. The reference should be updated. Study limitations should be added. Please revise the manuscript due to the comments.

 **REFEREE:** Associate Editor | **Revision (0)**

8 Feb 2022

Dear EIC

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.

Kind regards

 **Morteza Taheri:** EIC | **Revision (0)**

8 Feb 2022

Dear Author,

The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments, this manuscript is accepted.

Thanks