

Article history: Received 07 April 2024 Revised 11 May 2024 Accepted 22 May 2024 Published online 01 July 2024

Applied Family Therapy Journal

OPEN PEER-REVIEW REPORT



E-ISSN: 3041-8798

Comparing the Efficacy of a Specialized Package for Women with Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Schema Therapy on Perfectionism and Maladaptive Schemas in Women with Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Elham. Rasouli Jazi¹, Hajar. Torkan², Zahra. Yousefi²

¹ Ph.D Student, Department of Psychology, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran ² Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan (Korasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: h.torkan@khuisf.ac.ir

Editor	Reviewers
Salar Faramarzi	Reviewer 1: Ali AghaZiarati [©]
Associate Professor, Department of	Department of Psychology of Children with Special Needs, Isfahan University, Iran.
Psychology of Children with Special	Email: aliziarati@edu.ui.ac.ir
Needs, Isfahan University, Iran	Reviewer 2: Mehdi Rostami [®]
s.faramarzi@edu.ui.ac.ir	Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
	Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: mehdirostami@kmanresce.ca

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The methodology section briefly mentions a quasi-experimental design but lacks specific details on how the participants were allocated to groups and whether any blinding was used. Clarify these aspects to enhance the validity of your study design.

The use of repeated measures ANOVA is appropriate; however, the manuscript would benefit from including additional analyses such as effect size indices and power calculations for all main effects and interactions to provide a fuller statistical context.

The description of schema therapy is somewhat generic. Include more specific details about the intervention techniques used in the sessions to allow for reproducibility and to understand the intervention's components thoroughly.

It's mentioned that the control group received no intervention. For the sake of clarity and to address potential biases, discuss what standard care or activities the control group engaged in during the study period.

The paper lacks a rationale for the sample size. Include power analysis or other statistical justifications for the number of participants to strengthen the study's methodological rigor.



Provide a more detailed breakdown of the demographic data of participants, such as age distribution, socioeconomic status, and educational background, to assess the generalizability of the findings.

Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

There are several typographical errors throughout the text that need correction to maintain the manuscript's professionalism. Ensure consistency in terminology, particularly in the use of technical terms related to schema therapy and psychological assessments.

Standardize the reference formatting as per journal guidelines. Some references seem to be inconsistently formatted.

Strengthen the introduction by more explicitly stating the research gap that this study aims to fill.

Provide more details about the validity and reliability of the tools used for assessment in the context of your study population.

Expand on the ethical considerations, particularly how participant confidentiality is maintained and the informed consent process.

Offer deeper analysis and interpretation of the results, linking them to existing literature and theories in clinical psychology.

Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

