

Article history: Received 02 September 2024 Revised 26 November 2024 Accepted 05 December 2024 Published online 24 December 2024

# **Applied Family Therapy Journal**

**OPEN PEER-REVIEW REPORT** 



E-ISSN: 3041-8798

# The Structural Model for Predicting Marital Burnout Based on Attachment Styles with the Mediating Role of Marital Self-Regulation and Marital Intimacy in Married Individuals

Farank. Hasani Pouya 10, Naser Sobhi. Gharamaleki 20, Hassan. Mirzahosseini 30

- <sup>1</sup> Counseling Department, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran <sup>2</sup> Motor Behavior Department, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author email address: sobhi@atu.ac.ir

Editor	Reviewers
Shahram Vahedi	Reviewer 1: Zahra Yousefi 6
Professor, Department of	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Khorasgan Branch, Islamic Azad
Educational Psychology, Faculty of	University, Isfahan, Iran.
Educational Sciences and	Email: yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir
Psychology, Tabriz University, Tabriz, Iran vahedi117@yahoo.com	Reviewer 2: Mehdi Rostami
	Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
	Hill, Ontario, Canada.
	Email: dr.mrostami@kmanresce.ca

## 1. Round 1

#### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

This definition is somewhat restrictive and might not encompass diverse cultural or modern understandings of marriage. Consider broadening the scope to include contemporary variations, such as same-sex marriages, to make the introduction more inclusive.

Strengthen this claim by providing a systematic review or summary of gaps in existing literature to better justify the study's necessity.

Expand on the practical implications of these findings. How can they be translated into interventions for couples?

Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.



#### 1.2. Reviewer 2

### Reviewer:

This assertion lacks specific references to empirical evidence. Adding data or studies to support this claim would strengthen the argument.

Define "ideal choice" and "emotional compatibility" more rigorously to ensure conceptual clarity and consistency throughout the paper.

The term "subjective concept" could be elaborated. How is it operationally defined or measured in the context of this study? Convenience sampling may introduce selection bias. Address how this limitation is mitigated and discuss its implications for generalizability.

Explain why this exclusion criterion is necessary and how it impacts the study's outcomes.

Provide more details about the reliability and validity of the questionnaires used. Were they pretested?

Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.

### 2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.