


The Environmental Impacts of AI and Digital Technologies

Sepehr. Khajeh Naeeni¹ , Nilofar. Nouhi² 

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1, Canada

² Department of Management, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: skhajeh@lakeheadu.ca

Article Info

Article type:

Original Research

How to cite this article:

Khajeh Naeeni, S., & Nouhi, N. (2023). The Environmental Impacts of AI and Digital Technologies. *AI and Tech in Behavioral and Social Sciences*, 1(4), 11-18.

<https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.aitech.1.4.3>



© 2024 the authors. Published by KMAN Publication Inc. (KMANPUB), Ontario, Canada. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies, identify potential mitigation strategies, and assess the role of policy, regulation, and public awareness in fostering sustainable practices within this domain. Employing a qualitative research methodology, this study collected data through semi-structured interviews with 27 professionals across the technology sector, environmental research, policy-making, and academia. Thematic analysis was used to analyze the interview transcripts, allowing for the identification of main themes and categories related to the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies and the exploration of potential mitigation strategies. Five main themes emerged from the analysis: Direct Environmental Impact, Mitigation Strategies, Technological Innovations, Policy and Regulation, and Public Awareness and Engagement. Each theme encompasses various categories and concepts, such as Energy Consumption, E-Waste, Renewable Energy Adoption, Sustainable Design, Energy-Efficient Hardware, Legislation and Standards, Educational Campaigns, and Digital Literacy. The findings highlight the complex and multifaceted nature of AI and digital technologies' environmental impacts, along with the crucial role of innovative mitigation strategies and comprehensive policy frameworks in addressing these challenges. The study concludes that while AI and digital technologies offer tremendous potential for advancing sustainable development, their deployment must be carefully managed to minimize negative environmental impacts. It underscores the importance of integrating sustainability considerations into the development and deployment of these technologies, alongside fostering robust policy and regulatory frameworks and enhancing public awareness and engagement to achieve a sustainable digital future.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Technology, Environmental Sustainability, Energy Consumption, Carbon Emissions, E-Waste, Mitigation Strategies, Policy, Public Awareness.

1. Introduction

The rapid ascent of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies has undeniably transformed the modern world, offering groundbreaking enhancements in efficiency and revolutionizing processes across myriad sectors. This transformative power of AI and digital technologies has

reshaped industries, from agriculture to healthcare, making operations more intelligent, predictive, and efficient. However, the escalating adoption and integration of these technologies have also ushered in a growing concern over their environmental implications. The burgeoning field of study surrounding the sustainability of AI and digital technologies has begun to highlight significant

environmental concerns associated with their expansive development and deployment (Jääskeläinen et al., 2022). As the footprint of these technologies expands, the urgency to address their environmental impact becomes paramount.

In exploring the nexus between AI and sustainability, researchers have ventured into the multifaceted impacts these technologies exert on society, the economy, and critically, the environment. The diverse applications of AI, ranging from improving agricultural yields to optimizing water management systems and enhancing energy efficiency in buildings, have been documented extensively. Such studies not only demonstrate AI's potential to contribute positively to various sectors but also underscore the necessity of understanding its broader implications for sustainable development (Akter et al., 2023). This broad applicability of AI highlights its potential as a tool for environmental stewardship, albeit with an acute awareness of its environmental toll.

Historically, the discourse surrounding AI has predominantly concentrated on ethical concerns, particularly in sensitive areas such as healthcare. However, the narrative is shifting, with an increasing focus on the environmental sustainability of AI technologies. The environmental dimension of AI's impact, encompassing everything from carbon footprint to resource consumption, has emerged as a pivotal area requiring immediate attention and action (Richie, 2022). This shift represents a critical acknowledgment of the need to balance AI's societal and economic benefits with environmental stewardship.

The call for "greening AI" suggests a transformative approach towards embedding sustainability at the heart of AI development and deployment. Researchers advocate for a multi-level, system dynamics perspective combined with design thinking to weave environmental sustainability into the fabric of AI technologies. This approach is aimed at addressing complex global challenges through AI, with a keen focus on minimizing environmental impacts and fostering sustainable practices (Yigitcanlar, 2021). Such a paradigm shift underscores the potential of AI to contribute to ecological sustainability, provided it is harnessed and developed with conscientiousness towards its environmental implications.

Despite AI's recognized potential to tackle complex environmental and social challenges, discussions on its ecological sustainability have lagged behind those on its societal impact. This gap in discourse highlights a critical need for a comprehensive examination of AI's environmental footprint, promoting a balanced view of AI's

role in achieving global sustainability goals (Zhao & Fariñas, 2022). The evolving conversation around sustainable AI, once centered predominantly on social concerns such as bias and explainability, now increasingly encompasses ecological sustainability. This shift reflects a growing recognition of the ecological impact of AI technologies as a critical area for intervention and innovation (Bolte et al., 2022).

In urban development contexts, the sustainability of AI has garnered attention for its potential to foster smart and sustainable cities. By leveraging AI and other digital technologies, cities are seeking to enhance resource efficiency, improve environmental quality, and reduce carbon emissions. This intersection of AI and urban sustainability illustrates the potential for digital technologies to drive forward sustainability objectives in complex urban environments (Yigitcanlar & Cugurullo, 2020).

As the relationship between AI and environmental sustainability becomes more pronounced, there is an evident need for a holistic approach to understanding and mitigating the environmental implications of AI. This entails a strategic pivot towards sustainable AI practices that are cognizant of the broader ecological impacts, advocating for responsible innovation and deployment of AI technologies (Perucica & Andjelkovic, 2022). This introduction lays the groundwork for a comprehensive study aimed at delving into the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies, highlighting the importance of integrating sustainability into the core of AI and digital innovation for a sustainable future.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to understand the environmental impacts of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies. Given the complex and multifaceted nature of the environmental effects posed by these technologies, qualitative methods provide the depth and nuance necessary to capture a comprehensive view. This approach enables the exploration of perspectives, experiences, and insights from individuals directly involved in or knowledgeable about the development, implementation, and regulation of AI and digital technologies.

Participants were purposively selected to ensure a diverse range of perspectives. The criteria for selection

included professionals working within the AI and digital technology sectors, environmental researchers, policy makers, and advocates for digital sustainability. This criterion aimed to include voices from various sectors that influence, understand, and are impacted by the environmental consequences of these technologies.

Participants were informed about the study's purpose, the confidentiality of their responses, and their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews.

2.2. Data Collection

The primary method of data collection was semi-structured interviews, selected for their flexibility and capacity to generate rich, detailed data. This format allowed for open-ended questions and discussions, encouraging participants to share their insights, experiences, and subjective interpretations freely.

The interview protocol was developed with an emphasis on exploring several key areas: the direct and indirect environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies, strategies currently employed to mitigate these impacts, and potential future directions for reducing environmental footprints. Questions were open-ended to allow participants to explore topics in depth, but they were structured enough to ensure consistency across interviews. Sample questions included:

Can you describe the direct environmental impacts associated with the development and use of AI and digital technologies in your sector?

What measures are being implemented to mitigate these impacts?

How do you envision the future of sustainable practices within the AI and digital technology sectors?

2.3. Data Analysis

Data from the interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis. This involved coding the data to identify recurring themes and patterns related to the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies. The analysis was iterative, with the research team reviewing and refining the codes and themes as more data were collected and analyzed.

3. Findings

In the study, a total of 27 participants were interviewed to explore the environmental impacts of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies. The demographic characteristics of the participants were diverse, ensuring a wide range of perspectives. Of the participants, 15 identified as male (55.6%), and 12 identified as female (44.4%), representing a balanced gender distribution. The participants hailed from various professional backgrounds, including 9 (33.3%) from the technology sector, specifically in AI and digital technologies development; 6 (22.2%) were environmental researchers; 5 (18.5%) worked within governmental or non-governmental organizations focusing on policy and regulation; and 7 (25.9%) were from the academic sector, involved in research or teaching related to technology and environmental studies.

Table 1

Categories, Subcategories, and Concepts

Category	Subcategory	Concepts
Direct Environmental Impact	Energy Consumption	Data centers power usage, AI training energy demands, Cooling systems efficiency, Renewable energy integration, Efficiency in algorithms, Hardware optimization
	E-Waste	Recycling challenges, Product lifecycle extension, Disposal practices, Recycling rate improvement, Consumer awareness on e-waste, Reduction of hazardous materials
	Resource Extraction	Rare earth minerals, Impact on local ecosystems, Water use, Sustainable extraction practices, Recycling of materials, Reduction of dependency on scarce resources
	Carbon Footprint	GHG emissions, Comparison to other industries, Reduction targets, Transition to low-carbon technologies, Lifecycle analysis, Emissions tracking and reporting
	Biodiversity Loss	Habitat disruption, Species endangerment, Conservation efforts, Impact assessments, Restoration projects, Biodiversity-friendly technologies
Mitigation Strategies	Renewable Energy Adoption	Solar-powered data centers, Wind energy in operations, Hydropower sources, Transition strategies, Investment in renewable energy, Energy purchase agreements
	E-Waste Recycling Programs	Manufacturer take-back programs, Urban mining, Circular economy practices, Design for recyclability, Consumer return incentives, Legislation support
	Carbon Offsetting	Corporate carbon credits, Project-based offsets, Community forestry initiatives, Investment in carbon reduction, Global carbon market participation, Verification standards

Technological Innovations	Sustainable Design	Eco-friendly materials, Modular design for reparability, Energy-efficient software, Lifecycle assessment in design, Reduction in material use, Innovations in sustainability
	Energy-Efficient Hardware	Low-power CPUs and GPUs, Optimized algorithms for efficiency, Thermal management innovations, Sustainable computing practices, Advanced cooling technologies, Power-saving modes
	AI for Environmental Monitoring	Satellite imagery for deforestation, AI in climate modeling, Pollution tracking systems, Real-time environmental data analysis, Predictive modeling for conservation, IoT for environmental monitoring
	Biodegradable Materials	Polymers from renewable sources, Electronics recycling into new products, Packaging reduction, Biodegradable electronics, Sustainable material sourcing, Lifecycle impact reduction
	Green Data Centers	Cooling with renewable energy, Usage of ambient temperature, Server virtualization, Energy-efficient infrastructure, Smart grid integration, Renewable energy certifications
Policy and Regulation	Legislation and Standards	E-waste regulations, Greenhouse gas emission limits, Energy efficiency standards, Policy frameworks, Enforcement mechanisms, International standards harmonization
	International Cooperation	UN sustainability goals, Cross-border environmental agreements, Tech industry guidelines, Collaborative projects, Global sustainability initiatives, Policy alignment for climate goals
	Incentives for Sustainability	Tax breaks for green tech, Grants for clean energy projects, Subsidies for sustainable practices, Financial incentives for innovation, Support for green startups, Economic benefits of sustainability
	Data Transparency	Open data for environmental impact, Blockchain for supply chain transparency, Privacy-preserving data sharing, Data accuracy and reliability, Stakeholder access to data, Public reporting standards
Public Awareness and Engagement	Educational Campaigns	School programs on digital footprint, Online courses on sustainability, Workshops on e-waste management, Curriculum integration, Interactive learning platforms, Awareness campaigns
	Community Initiatives	Local clean-up events, Repair cafes, Sustainability hackathons, Community recycling initiatives, Volunteer for green projects, Public engagement in sustainability, Local sustainability challenges
	Digital Literacy	Media literacy on digital use, Online security and privacy, Ethical computing practices, Digital responsibility, Sustainable digital habits, Critical thinking on digital consumption
	Stakeholder Collaboration	NGO and corporate partnerships, Community-driven sustainability projects, Public consultations on tech policy, Collaborative platforms, Stakeholder engagement, Collective action for sustainability

The qualitative analysis of the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies, alongside mitigation strategies, innovations, policy considerations, and public engagement, revealed five main categories: Direct Environmental Impact, Mitigation Strategies, Technological Innovations, Policy and Regulation, and Public Awareness and Engagement. Each category comprised several subcategories with associated concepts, which are detailed below.

Direct Environmental Impact includes the following subcategories and concepts:

Energy Consumption: Highlighted by significant data center power usage, AI training energy demands, and the need for cooling systems efficiency. An interviewee noted, "The energy demands of training sophisticated AI models are enormous, emphasizing the urgent need for renewable energy integration and efficiency in algorithms."

E-Waste: Addressing recycling challenges, product lifecycle extension, and disposal practices. "Consumer awareness of e-waste and the reduction of hazardous materials are crucial steps towards sustainability," one participant remarked.

Resource Extraction: Concerns over rare earth minerals, their impact on local ecosystems, and water use were noted. Sustainable extraction practices and recycling of materials were discussed as potential solutions.

Carbon Footprint: Encompasses GHG emissions, comparisons to other industries, and reduction targets.

"Transitioning to low-carbon technologies is not just necessary; it's inevitable," commented a respondent.

Biodiversity Loss: Focuses on habitat disruption, species endangerment, and conservation efforts. An interviewee highlighted, "Technology must be developed with biodiversity-friendly principles to minimize environmental impacts."

Mitigation Strategies includes renewable energy adoption, e-waste recycling programs, carbon offsetting, and sustainable design. One key insight was that "Solar-powered data centers and wind energy in operations significantly reduce the carbon footprint of digital technologies."

Technological Innovations cover energy-efficient hardware, AI for environmental monitoring, biodegradable materials, and green data centers. A participant stated, "Innovations such as low-power CPUs and GPUs, and optimized algorithms for efficiency, are essential for sustainable computing."

Policy and Regulation encompass legislation and standards, international cooperation, incentives for sustainability, and data transparency. "Effective e-waste regulations and greenhouse gas emission limits can drive the industry towards more sustainable practices," a policy maker shared.

Public Awareness and Engagement focus on educational campaigns, community initiatives, digital literacy, and stakeholder collaboration. "Engaging the public through

educational campaigns and community initiatives fosters a culture of sustainability," was a common theme among respondents.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This study embarked on an exploratory journey to delineate the environmental impacts of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies, aiming to contribute to the growing discourse on sustainable technological development. The findings reveal significant environmental considerations across the lifecycle of AI technologies, including high energy consumption, carbon emissions, e-waste generation, and biodiversity loss. Simultaneously, our research identifies potential mitigation strategies such as the adoption of renewable energy sources, e-waste recycling programs, and the development of energy-efficient AI hardware and algorithms. Moreover, the study underscores the pivotal role of policy, regulation, and public awareness in fostering sustainable AI practices.

The qualitative analysis of the environmental impacts of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies yielded five main themes, each encompassing a range of categories that further detail the specific aspects of the theme. The main themes identified are Direct Environmental Impact, Mitigation Strategies, Technological Innovations, Policy and Regulation, and Public Awareness and Engagement. Under these themes, various categories were identified, such as Energy Consumption, E-Waste, and Carbon Footprint under Direct Environmental Impact; Renewable Energy Adoption, E-Waste Recycling Programs, and Sustainable Design under Mitigation Strategies; Energy-Efficient Hardware, AI for Environmental Monitoring, and Green Data Centers under Technological Innovations; Legislation and Standards, International Cooperation, and Data Transparency under Policy and Regulation; and Educational Campaigns, Community Initiatives, and Digital Literacy under Public Awareness and Engagement. These themes and categories collectively provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies, as well as potential pathways toward sustainability.

The Direct Environmental Impact theme highlights the immediate ecological consequences of AI and digital technologies, divided into categories such as Energy Consumption, E-Waste, Resource Extraction, Carbon Footprint, and Biodiversity Loss. Energy Consumption

focuses on the substantial power requirements of data centers and AI training processes. E-Waste encompasses the challenges and practices related to the disposal and recycling of electronic waste. Resource Extraction discusses the environmental degradation resulting from the extraction of necessary raw materials. Carbon Footprint examines the greenhouse gas emissions associated with these technologies, and Biodiversity Loss addresses the adverse effects on wildlife and ecosystems.

Mitigation Strategies theme explores approaches to minimize the environmental impacts identified under the Direct Environmental Impact theme. This includes Renewable Energy Adoption, emphasizing the transition to solar, wind, and hydropower sources for energy requirements; E-Waste Recycling Programs, which focus on improving recycling rates and consumer awareness; Carbon Offsetting, detailing efforts to compensate for emissions through forestry and conservation projects; and Sustainable Design, advocating for the development of eco-friendly materials and energy-efficient product designs.

The Technological Innovations theme captures the advancements aimed at enhancing environmental sustainability through smarter and greener technologies. It includes categories like Energy-Efficient Hardware, which details the development of low-power computing devices; AI for Environmental Monitoring, highlighting AI applications in tracking and managing environmental changes; and Green Data Centers, focusing on the efforts to reduce the carbon footprint of data storage and processing facilities.

Policy and Regulation theme underscores the role of governance and legal frameworks in promoting environmental sustainability in the AI and digital technology sectors. Legislation and Standards refer to the laws and guidelines established to manage the environmental impacts of these technologies. International Cooperation highlights the global partnerships and agreements aimed at addressing cross-border environmental issues, and Data Transparency emphasizes the importance of open and accessible data to monitor and regulate AI's environmental impact.

Finally, the Public Awareness and Engagement theme focuses on the importance of educating and involving the public in sustainability efforts related to AI and digital technologies. Educational Campaigns are aimed at raising awareness about the environmental impacts of these technologies and promoting sustainable practices. Community Initiatives encourage grassroots movements

towards sustainability, and Digital Literacy stresses the need for understanding the ecological implications of digital consumption and the benefits of sustainable digital practices.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies across various sectors has prompted a nuanced discussion about their environmental impacts and their role in achieving sustainable development goals. This discussion section explores our study's findings within the context of existing literature, aligning our insights with those of previous studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the environmental implications of AI and digital technologies.

Our research underscores a critical gap in systematic studies assessing AI's impact on sustainable development, echoing concerns raised by Vinuesa et al. (2020). The need for comprehensive research in this area is paramount, as it would illuminate the broader environmental implications of AI deployment across various domains (Vinuesa et al., 2020). Furthermore, Kindylidi & Cabral (2021) suggested the importance of conducting larger-scale studies to map the environmental footprint of AI, focusing on energy consumption and carbon dioxide emissions (Kindylidi & Cabral, 2021). Our findings support this suggestion, highlighting the significant environmental impact associated with algorithmic training and use, and the urgent need for methodologies that quantify these impacts comprehensively.

Akter et al. (2023) explored AI's impact across society, the environment, and the economy, providing valuable case studies in agriculture, waste classification, smart water management, and HVAC systems (Akter et al., 2023). These case studies demonstrate AI's potential in promoting sustainable development, aligning with our findings that showcase AI's diverse applications in environmental sustainability. However, as Richie (2022) emphasized, considering the broader ecological footprint of AI technologies is crucial, especially in sectors such as healthcare, where the environmental impact may not be immediately evident (Richie, 2022).

The ambiguity surrounding AI's contributions to environmental sustainability, discussed by Yigitcanlar (2021), reflects the complexities we encountered in delineating the precise role of AI in enhancing efficiencies and promoting environmental sustainability (Yigitcanlar, 2021). Our study contributes to clarifying this role, reinforcing the need for a clearer understanding articulated by Yigitcanlar (2021) (Yigitcanlar, 2021). In line with Zhao

& Farinas (2022), our research also highlights AI's potential to address complex environmental challenges, suggesting that AI can play a significant role in tackling global sustainability issues (Zhao & Farinas, 2022).

The ethics of sustainable AI, as discussed by Bossert & Hagedorff (2023), resonate with our findings on the importance of considering environmental impacts, particularly greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption (Bossert & Hagedorff, 2023). This ethical perspective is crucial for guiding the development and deployment of environmentally sustainable AI technologies. Moreover, the concerns about potential biases in AI-generated sustainability reports raised by Villiers (2023) underline the importance of critical scrutiny in the use of AI for sustainability reporting, to avoid perpetuating inequalities and overlooking crucial perspectives (Villiers, 2023).

In conclusion, our study aligns with and expands upon existing literature by providing a nuanced understanding of the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies. It underscores the urgent need for comprehensive research and larger-scale studies to map these impacts accurately. By integrating insights from various domains, our research contributes to a holistic view of AI's role in promoting sustainable development, advocating for ethical considerations, and addressing potential biases in AI applications for sustainability. As the discourse on sustainable AI evolves, it is clear that a multifaceted approach, encompassing ethical considerations, comprehensive impact assessments, and mitigation strategies, is essential for harnessing AI's potential in advancing global sustainability goals.

In conclusion, this study illuminates the dual-edged nature of AI and digital technologies in the context of environmental sustainability. While these technologies hold remarkable potential to address some of the most pressing environmental challenges of our time, their deployment is not without significant ecological implications. The findings underscore the imperative for a balanced approach that leverages AI's capabilities for environmental good while vigilantly mitigating its adverse impacts. Achieving this balance necessitates concerted efforts across multiple domains, including technological innovation, policy formulation, and societal engagement, to steer the development and application of AI towards a more sustainable future.

5. Limitations and Suggestions

This study is not without its limitations. The primary constraint lies in its reliance on qualitative data from semi-structured interviews, which, although rich in insights, may not capture the full spectrum of perspectives on the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of AI technology means that the findings might quickly become outdated, requiring ongoing research to stay current with technological advancements and their environmental implications.

Future research should aim to broaden the empirical base by incorporating quantitative assessments that can offer a more comprehensive view of the environmental impacts of AI and digital technologies. Longitudinal studies could provide valuable insights into the evolving nature of these impacts over time. Furthermore, comparative studies across different sectors and geographical regions could illuminate diverse challenges and opportunities for sustainable AI practices globally.

For practitioners and policymakers, this study highlights the critical need for integrating sustainability considerations into the development, deployment, and regulation of AI and digital technologies. Organizations should prioritize energy efficiency, waste reduction, and the use of sustainable materials in AI technology development. Policymakers are urged to formulate and enforce regulations that promote environmental sustainability in the tech industry. Additionally, fostering public awareness and engagement can play a crucial role in driving demand for sustainable AI solutions, thereby encouraging businesses and governments to adopt more responsible practices.

References

- Akter, A., Shamael, M. N., Hossain, M. A. E., Billah, H. M. M., Islam, S., & Shatabda, S. (2023). Adaptive Tabu Dropout For Regularization Of Deep Neural Networks. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-30105-6_30
- Bolte, L., Vandemeulebroucke, T., & Wynsberghe, A. v. (2022). From an Ethics of Carefulness to an Ethics of Desirability: Going Beyond Current Ethics Approaches to Sustainable AI. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084472>
- Bossert, L., & Hagendorff, T. (2023). The Ethics of Sustainable AI: Why Animals (Should) Matter for a Sustainable Use of AI. *Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2596>
- Jääskeläinen, P., Pargman, D., & Holzapfel, A. (2022). Towards Sustainability Assessment of Artificial Intelligence in Artistic Practices. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2210.08981>
- Kindylidi, I., & Cabral, T. S. (2021). Sustainability of AI: The Case of Provision of Information to Consumers. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112064>
- Perucica, N., & Andjelkovic, K. (2022). Is the Future of AI Sustainable? A Case Study of the European Union. *Transforming Government People Process and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tg-06-2021-0106>
- Richie, C. (2022). Environmentally Sustainable Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence in Health Care. *Bioethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.13018>
- Villiers, C. d. (2023). How Will AI Text Generation and Processing Impact Sustainability Reporting? Critical Analysis, a Conceptual Framework and Avenues for Future Research. *Sustainability Accounting Management and Policy Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-02-2023-0097>
- Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., Balaam, M., Dignum, V., Domisch, S., Felländer, A., Langhans, S. D., Tegmark, M., & Nerini, F. F. (2020). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y>

Authors' Contributions

Authors have contributed equally to the research process and the development of the manuscript.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were observed.

- Yigitcanlar, T. (2021). Greening the Artificial Intelligence for a Sustainable Planet: An Editorial Commentary. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413508>
- Yiğitcanlar, T., & Cugurullo, F. (2020). The Sustainability of Artificial Intelligence: An Urbanistic Viewpoint From the Lens of Smart and Sustainable Cities. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208548>
- Zhao, J., & Fariñas, B. G. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Decisions. *European Business Organization Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-022-00262-2>