




# The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Monitoring Athletes' Mental States: A Machine Learning Approach

Georgian. Badicu<sup>1\*</sup>, Rui Miguel. Silva<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Education and Special Motricity, Transilvania University of Brasov, 500068 Braşov, Romania

<sup>2</sup> Escola Superior Desporto e Lazer, Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Rua Escola Industrial e Comercial de Nun'Álvares, 4900-347 Viana do Castelo, Portugal

\* Corresponding author email address: georgian.badicu@unitbv.ro

E d i t o r	R e v i e w e r s
Mehdi Purmohammad  Department of Cognitive Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada purmoham@ualberta.ca	Reviewer 1: Farhad Namjoo  Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: farhadnamjoo@kmanresce.ca Reviewer 2: Seyed Mohammad Hosseini  Assistant Professor, Department of Health and Rehabilitation in Sports, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran. Email: moh_hosseini@sbu.ac.ir

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1 Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

– While you list both categories, the transition between physiological and behavioral markers could be improved by briefly indicating why their integration is theoretically justified in sports psychology.

– “Deep learning models... have shown strong promise” – Please provide at least one quantitative performance benchmark from prior literature to contextualize your model’s improvements.

– “The ‘black box’ nature of many deep learning systems has been a recurrent ethical concern” – Suggest expanding this discussion by clarifying how your explainability module specifically addresses known shortcomings of existing XAI techniques.

– “Beyond Verbal’s Emotion Analytics API... AUC = 0.87” – Indicate whether your training data for vocal analysis were language-matched to the study participants, as linguistic variation may affect performance.

– “mock press conferences incorporating critical feedback elements” – Consider describing the ethical safeguards in place for potentially distressing social evaluation tasks, particularly given elite athletes’ media exposure.

– “layer-wise relevance propagation to generate stress attribution maps” – Provide an illustrative figure of such an attribution map in the results to substantiate interpretability claims.

- “athlete-specific leave-one-out cross-validation” – Clarify if the model’s hyperparameters were tuned globally or separately for each athlete, as this affects generalizability.
- Table 1 – Precision and recall are very high across modalities; discuss whether these may be influenced by class imbalance or overfitting, and if so, how this was mitigated.
- “stealth stress” patterns” – Define the operational threshold for “stealth stress” detection to allow replication.
- “competition simulation condition elicited the steepest reduction in heart rate variability” – It would strengthen the argument to reference meta-analytic evidence or physiological models explaining why competition scenarios surpass cognitive load in HRV impact.

Author revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

## 1.2 Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

- “Given these complexities, the present study aims...” – The aim statement is well-placed but could be more specific by indicating how explainability, personalization, and privacy mechanisms were operationalized in your design.
- “A stratified sample of 128 competitive athletes...” – Please explain the rationale for equal gender distribution (64 male, 64 female). Was it for statistical balance or to test gender-specific stress signatures?
- “Empatica E4 wristbands... 95% agreement with clinical polysomnography” – This validation is for sleep; clarify if similar validation exists for stress detection metrics (EDA, HRV) in the same device.
- “two distinct clusters” – Consider providing modularity or clustering coefficients to strengthen the statistical validity of the network analysis.
- The SHAP summary plot is mentioned but not interpreted in full detail. Expand on why certain features (e.g., vocal pitch variability) might biologically differ by gender.
- “reduced acute stress episode duration by 42.3%” – Clarify whether this percentage reflects within-subject improvement relative to baseline or between-group comparison.

Author revised the manuscript and uploaded the updated document.

## 2. Revised

Editor’s decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief’s decision: Accepted.