




## Futurology of Sustainable Environmental Reporting Models Considering Innovation Indicators


Seyed Hamid. Ramazani Yasuj<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Reza. Jafari Dehkordi<sup>2\*</sup>, Bahareh. Banitalebi Dehkordi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Phd Student, Department of Accounting, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran



<sup>2</sup> Assistant Prof, Department of Accounting, Shahrekord branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: H.Jafari@iaushk.ac.ir

### E d i t o r

Khaliqzaman Khan  
Associate Professor, School of  
Business Administration, Al Dar  
University College, United Arab  
Emirates  
kaliqzaman.khan@aue.ac

### R e v i e w e r s

**Reviewer 1:** Manijeh Haghighinasab  
Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Alzahra University, Tehran, Iran  
Email: haghighinasab@srbiau.ac.ir  
**Reviewer 2:** Alireza Rajabipoor Meybodi  
Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, Yazd University,  
Yazd, Iran  
Email: Rajabipoor@yazd.ac.ir

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The abstract should succinctly summarize the main findings and the significance of the study. Consider adding a sentence that highlights the key result or conclusion to improve reader engagement.

Expand the discussion on the historical context of environmental sustainability reporting to better set the stage for your research. Include more recent studies to bridge the gap between past research and your study.

While the review covers relevant theories, it would benefit from a more critical analysis of how each theory specifically applies to your study's focus on innovation indicators.

The methodology section could be more detailed in describing the process of selecting experts for qualitative interviews. Clarify the criteria used for their selection.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the new document.

## 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Clarify the primary objective in the introduction for better alignment with the conclusion. Ensure that the objective is specific and measurable.

Enhance the description of the semi-structured interviews. Include examples of interview questions to provide readers with a clearer understanding of the data collection process.

More thoroughly discuss how content validity was ensured for the questionnaire. Also, consider adding information about inter-rater reliability for qualitative data analysis.

Provide more details on the structural equation modeling technique used. Explain why this method was chosen and how it suits the data analysis needs of the study.

The low correlation of green culture with sustainable reporting is intriguing. Delve deeper into possible reasons and implications in the discussion section.

Include a comparative analysis with previous models of environmental reporting to highlight the innovations your model introduces.

Discuss the theoretical implications of your findings more comprehensively. How does your research contribute to existing theories of environmental sustainability?

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the new document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.