

Examining the Uniformity Model of Received Premium Rates on Innovation in the Financial Management Policies of the Social Security Organization

Ahmadreza. Mohammadlu¹, Farid. Asgari^{2*}, Abdollah. Nazari³, Farzaneh. Khalili²

¹ Ph.D. student, Department of Industrial Management, Abhar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Abhar, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Abhar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Abhar, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Abhar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Abhar, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: fi.asgarii@gmail.com

Editor

Mohammed Abdul Imran Khan¹
Department of Financial
Management and Economics,
Dhofar University, Oman
mimran@du.edu.om

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Masoud Hoseinchari¹
Associate Professor, Department of Educational Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz,
Iran. Email: hchari@shirazu.ac.ir

Reviewer 2: Rezvan Hosseingholizadeh¹
Associate Professor, Department of Educational Management and Human Resource
Development, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran. Email:
rhgholizadeh@um.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Ensure the abstract concisely summarizes key findings and implications. It should briefly outline the methodology, main results, and their significance within the field of financial management.

Verify the consistency of terminology used throughout the paper, particularly terms like "uniformity model" and "innovation in financial management." This will help maintain clarity and prevent ambiguity.

Update the literature review to include the most recent studies, ensuring relevance and demonstrating the ongoing dialogue in this area of research.

Provide more detailed descriptions of the statistical methods used in the analysis. This should include assumptions made, the statistical software used, and the justification for specific tests.

Strengthen the conclusion section by more directly linking back to the research questions and objectives stated initially, ensuring that all claims are supported by the findings.

Conduct a thorough proofreading to correct any typographical, grammatical, or syntax errors, which can detract from the paper's professional quality.

Response: Thank you for your comment. I will consider these comments.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Expand the methodology section to provide a more detailed explanation of the data collection process, sample selection criteria, and any controls used to mitigate biases.

Develop a more robust theoretical framework to underpin the study, linking the concepts of premium rates and financial innovation more explicitly to existing theories in financial management.

Increase the robustness of the results by including additional empirical evidence, possibly through case studies or extended data analysis, to validate the model proposed.

Deepen the discussion section to explore the implications of your findings in a broader economic and policy context, comparing with similar models in different industries or countries.

Conduct a sensitivity analysis to test the stability of your findings under different conditions or assumptions. This would significantly enhance the reliability of your conclusions.

Elaborate on how your findings could influence actual policy changes within the Social Security Organization. Provide specific, actionable recommendations.

Response: Thank you for your comment. I will consider these comments.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.