

A Phenomenological Study of the Lived Experiences of Female School Principals in Their Relationships with Teachers


Shahin. Jabalhang¹, Sadegh. Maleki Avarsin^{2*}, Jahangir. Yari Haj Ataloo²

¹ PhD student in Educational Management, Department of Educational Sciences, Ta.C., Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran


² Associate Professor, Department of Educational Sciences, Ta.C., Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran


* Corresponding author email address: s.maleki1@iaut.ac.ir

Editor

Mohammed Abdul Imran Khan
Department of Financial
Management and Economics,
Dhofar University, Oman
mimran@du.edu.om

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Abbas Monavarian
Professor, Management Department, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: amonavar@ut.ac.ir

Reviewer 2: Rezvan Hosseingholizadeh
Associate Professor, Department of Educational Management and Human Resource
Development, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran. Email:
rhgholizadeh@um.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the paragraph beginning “Educational leadership has increasingly been recognized...”, several claims about leadership transformation are made. Consider adding a clear conceptual anchor (e.g., relational leadership theory) to strengthen theoretical coherence.

The sentence “female principals often encounter leadership realities that differ in form and intensity...” would benefit from briefly specifying what differs (e.g., authority enactment, emotional labor, legitimacy) to sharpen analytical precision.

The paragraph describing interview development does not provide example questions. Including 2–3 sample questions would enhance methodological transparency.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the new document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

When stating “International research further suggests that female principals often operate as change leaders”, the manuscript could benefit from a short synthesis sentence explaining how “change leadership” specifically manifests in principal–teacher relationships.

The gap is articulated as a lack of qualitative focus on principals’ experiences. However, please explicitly state what new knowledge phenomenology contributes beyond existing qualitative studies (e.g., narrative or case studies).

The aim sentence is well-written, but it could be improved by explicitly stating the phenomenon of interest (e.g., relational leadership tensions, emotional labor, motivational management) rather than remaining broad.

Although saturation is mentioned, the manuscript would benefit from a brief explanation of how saturation was assessed, especially given the relatively homogeneous sample.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the new document.

2. Revised

Editor’s decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief’s decision: Accepted.