



Article history: Received 17 October 2023 Accepted 29 November 2023 Published online 20 December 2023

## Journal of Assessment and Research in Applied Counseling

Open peer-review report



# Presenting a Causal Model of Suicidal Behavior Based on Early Childhood Trauma the Mediation of Thwarted Belongingness in Suicide Attempters in Tehran

Mojgan. Mahboubi Matboo<sup>1</sup>, Vahid. Ahmadi<sup>2\*</sup>, Homeira. Soleimannejad<sup>2</sup>, Amirhossein. Jafari Mehdiabad<sup>3</sup>

 $^1$  PhD Student in Psychology, Ilam branch, Islamic Azad University, Ilam, Iran  $^2$  Assistant professor, Department of Psychology, Ilam branch, Islamic Azad University, Ilam, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: ahjafarim@sina.tums.ac.ir

Editor	Reviewers
Parvaneh Mohammadkhani	Reviewer 1: Asghar Jafari
Professor, Department of Clinical	Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Kashan University, Kashan, Iran.
Psychology, University of	Email: as_jafari@sbu.ac.ir
Rehabilitation Sciences and Social	Reviewer 2: Azra Mohammadpanah Ardakan <sup>®</sup>
Health, Tehran, Iran. Email:	Assistant Professor of Department of Psychology, Faculty of Humanities & Social
Pa.mohammadkhani@uswr.ac.ir	Sciences, Ardakan University, Ardakan, Iran.
	Email: azramohammadpanah@ardakan.ac.ir

### 1. Round 1

#### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer: I appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback on this work. The approach and methodology are sound, and the findings have the potential to contribute valuable insights to the field. However, there are some areas that require attention before the manuscript is suitable for publication.

Reviewer: The literature review seems outdated. It is crucial to incorporate more recent studies to reflect the current state of research in this field. This will not only strengthen the argument but also ensure that the manuscript is contributing to contemporary discussions.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The manuscript currently cites very few sources. Expanding the reference list will provide a more comprehensive background and support for the study. Additionally, there are instances where citations in the text are not matched with entries in the reference list. It is important to ensure that all cited works are properly listed in the references.

Response: Thank you for your comment.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Assistant professor, Department of Psychiatry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Reviewer: The description of the findings is somewhat cursory. A more detailed explanation of the results, including their implications and limitations, would greatly enhance the manuscript's impact.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The discussion section requires improvement. It should more effectively draw connections between the findings and existing literature, discussing how this study adds to or challenges current understanding.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: There are some spelling errors throughout the text. A thorough proofreading is necessary to enhance the readability and professional quality of the manuscript.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: In sum, while the manuscript presents interesting and potentially significant research, the points mentioned above need to be addressed to clarify and strengthen the argument. After these minor revisions, I believe the manuscript will be a valuable addition to this journal.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

#### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer: Overall, I find the study to be intriguing and potentially a valuable contribution to the field. However, I recommend several minor revisions to enhance the manuscript's quality and readiness for publication.

Reviewer: The manuscript could benefit significantly from the inclusion of more recent literature. Current references will ensure that the study is contextualized within the latest developments in the field. I recommend incorporating literature from the past 3-5 years to reflect ongoing scholarly conversations.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The number of references in the manuscript is currently limited, which may detract from the study's depth and comprehensiveness. Increasing the range and number of references will strengthen the manuscript's academic foundation.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: To improve the accessibility and verifiability of the cited works, it would be beneficial to provide Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) or direct links for all references where available. This addition would greatly aid readers and researchers in locating the sources efficiently.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The abstract of the manuscript requires refinement for clarity and completeness. It should succinctly summarize the main objectives, methodology, findings, and significance of the study, offering a clear and compelling overview of the paper.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The findings section of the manuscript is somewhat lacking in detail. Providing a more comprehensive description, including specific data and analyses, will enhance the reader's understanding of the research outcomes and their implications.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: The discussion section would benefit from a more thorough exploration of the findings in the context of existing literature. Discussing the implications of the research, its limitations, and potential areas for future study will make this section more robust and insightful.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

Reviewer: In conclusion, the manuscript shows promise but would greatly benefit from these suggested revisions. Addressing these points will likely make the paper suitable for publication.

Response: Thank you for your comment.

#### 2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

