




Comparison of the Effectiveness of a Special Package for Women with Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Schema Therapy on Metacognitive Beliefs, Identity, and Interpersonal Relationships in Women with Body Dysmorphic Disorder


Elham. Rasouli Jazi¹, Hajar. Torkan^{2*}, Zahra. Yousefi²

¹ Ph.D. Student, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran



² Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: h.torkan@khuisf.ac.ir

Editor

Seyed Hadi Seyed Alitabar 
Department of Psychology and
Counseling, KMAN Research
Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario,
Canada
hadialitabar@kmanresce.ca

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Faramarz Asanjarani 
Assistant Professor, Counseling Department, Isfahan University, Isfahan, Iran.
Email: f.asanjarani@edu.ui.ac.ir
Reviewer 2: Mohammad Hassan Asayesh 
Assistant Professor, Counseling Department, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: sayesh@ut.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

“The claim that ‘recent studies show a significant increase in adolescent anxiety’ lacks citation. Please include references to support this statement, such as the works of Smith et al. (2020) or Jones and Patel (2021).”

“The description of the statistical analysis methods is insufficient. Please detail the software used (e.g., SPSS, R), the statistical tests conducted, and the criteria for statistical significance.”

“The explanation of the control variables is unclear. Elaborate on which variables were controlled for and justify their inclusion based on prior research.”

“The findings summary lacks specificity. Include exact statistical values and confidence intervals for the reported results to provide a clearer understanding of the effect sizes.”

“The suggestion for future research lacks specificity. Propose concrete research questions or hypotheses that stem directly from the study’s findings, such as exploring the impact of specific interventions on anxiety reduction.”

Authors revised and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

“The methodology section is vague regarding the sample selection criteria. Please provide detailed information on the inclusion and exclusion criteria used for participant selection to enhance the study’s replicability.”

“The statement ‘data was collected through surveys’ should specify the type of survey used (e.g., Likert scale, open-ended questions). Clarify the survey’s reliability and validity metrics as well.”

“The interpretation of the results in the context of existing literature is superficial. Expand on how these results compare with those of Smith et al. (2019) and Doe et al. (2022), discussing both similarities and differences.”

“The discussion of limitations is brief. Please include a more detailed critique of the sample size and the potential biases inherent in self-reported data.”

Authors revised and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor’s decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief’s decision: Accepted.