

Article history: Received 01 September 2025 Revised 03 December 2025 Accepted 10 December 2025 Initial Publication 11 December 2025 Final Publication 01 April 2025

Journal of Assessment and Research in Applied Counseling

Open-Peer Review



E-ISSN: 3041-8518

Self-Repair in Women with Symptoms of Borderline Personality Disorder: A Qualitative Study

Mohammadreza. Yekta 10, Mohsen. Golparvar 2*0, Zahra. Yousef 30

PhD Student, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran
Professor, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran
Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: mgolparvar@iau.ac.ir

Editor	Reviewers
José Aparecido Da Silva	Reviewer 1: Farideh Dokanehi Fard
Full Professor, Department of	Associate Professor, Counseling Department, Roudehen Branch, Islamic Azad
Psychology, University of Sao	University, Roudehen, Iran. Email: f.dokaneifard@riau.ac.ir
Paulo, Ribeirao Preto, Sao Paulo, Brazil jadsilva@ffclrp.usp.br	Reviewer 2: Taher Tizdast [©]
	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad
	University, Tonekabon, Iran. Email: taher.tizdast@toniau.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the paragraph discussing self-fragmentation, the concepts of "temporal discontinuity" and "narrative incoherence" appear interchangeable. Clarifying the theoretical distinction between these constructs would strengthen conceptual precision.

The sentence "Positive and negative emotional fluctuations complicate attempts at self-repair" lacks explanation of the mechanism linking affective instability to breakdowns in self-regulation. Including a brief explanatory mechanism would improve the argument.

The statement "Women often encounter societal pressures... that exacerbate identity instability" is presented as universal. The manuscript should acknowledge variability in gendered experiences rather than implying uniformity.

Authors revised and uploaded the document.



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The discussion of narrative identity states that "re-narration techniques help individuals reinterpret past experiences," but does not specify which techniques were most supported in the reviewed literature. More descriptive detail would clarify the claim.

The authors note that "the adequacy of twenty-one sources was determined based on the principle of saturation," but do not describe how saturation was evaluated in a literature-based thematic synthesis. A methodological justification is needed.

The statement "five independent reviewers carefully examined the entire process of data extraction" should be expanded by explaining how disagreements were resolved and whether consensus meetings or adjudication procedures were used.

Authors revised and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.