KMAN PUBLISHING INSTITUTE
Journal Website

Article history:
Received 25 June 2023
Accepted 21 August 2023
Published online 20 September 2023

Journal of Assessment and Research in Applied Counseling

Open peer-review report

E-ISSN: 3041-8518

Comparing the Effectiveness of Wells' Metacognition Training with Kabat-Zinn's Mindfulness Training on Self-Efficacy of Students with Math Anxiety

Sepideh. Mohammad Salehi[1], Naser. Yousefi[2*], Omid. Moradi[3]

[1] PhD student in Educational Psychology, Department of Psychology, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran
[2] Associate Professor, Counseling Department, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Kurdistan, Kurdistan, Iran
[3] Associate Professor, Family Counseling Department, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

*** Corresponding author email address**: naseryoosefi@yahoo.com

Editor	Reviewers
Shokoh Navabinejad Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada sh.navabinejad@kmanresce.ca	**Reviewer 1:** Mehdi Rostami Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: dr.mrostami@kmanresce.ca **Reviewer 2:** Nadereh Saadati Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: n.saadati@kmanresce.ca

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer: The work is good and fine according to its theorical framework and methodology but you should consider and revise the comments below. Publication definitely requires these revisions.

Reviewer: Discuss the effectiveness of each therapy method in the introduction. Use up-to-date references.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Provide references for your instruments.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: What is your data analysis method? You mentioned contradictory in the methodology part and abstract.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Use references for your arguments in the discussion section.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Authors' contribution?
Response: Checked and revised.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer: Indeed, you have done a great job and I endorse publication. However, a minor revision should be done before publication.

Reviewer: Your literature review is weak.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Correct the data analysis method according to your table in the findings and results.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: This is inconsistent with the table below.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Your discussion should have more recent references.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Compare your results with previous findings.
Response: Checked and revised.

Reviewer: Correct table numbering and the numbers in the description of tables.
Response: Checked and revised.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.
Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

JARAC Assessment and Research in Applied Counseling
E-ISSN: 3041-8518