

Article history: Received 25 June 2023 Accepted 21 August 2023 Published online 20 September 2023

Journal of Assessment and Research in Applied Counseling

Open peer-review report



E-ISSN: 3041-8518

The effectiveness of self-regulation learning training on the executive functions of male elementary school students with reading disabilities

Neda. Garosian Shad¹, Jahanshir. Tavakolizadeh^{2*}, Reza. Dastjerdi³, Hamid. Taheri⁴

^{*} Corresponding author email address: naseryoosefi@yahoo.com

Editor	Reviewers
Valiolah Farzad®	Reviewer 1: Zahra Yousefi
Department of Psychology and	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan),
Counseling, KMAN Research	Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran. Email: Z.yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir
Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario,	Reviewer 2: Mohsen Golparvar®
Canada	Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Islamic Azad
v.farzad@kmanresce.ca	University, Isfahan, Iran. mgolparvar@khuisf.ac.ir

Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer: I appreciate your good job in writing the manuscript and your study. Indeed, a few revisions are suggested to improve the article and make it appropriate for publication.

Reviewer: This tool is described twice.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: Where are the references?

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: Where is table 3?

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: Is it ANCOVA? MANCOVA? Mixed ANOVA?

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

E-ISSN: 3041-8518

¹ Graduate Student of Educational Psychology, Qaenat Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaenat, Iran ² Professor, Department of Educational Psychology, Qaenat Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaenat, Iran ³ Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Birjand Branch, University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran ⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Basic Sciences, Qaeenat Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaeenat, Iran



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer: It is a great manuscript. Your literature review is well-organized and you have used proper tools for measurements. Your work needs some crucial revisions before publication.

Reviewer: Shorten the introduction.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: Write the methods and materials according to the guideline. See other articles and follow the structure.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: Two experimental groups? You only have one but you are explaining about two experimental groups.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

Reviewer: You should compare your whole with other studies in discussion.

Response: Thank you for your comment. Corrected.

2. Revised

Editor in Chief's (The editor) decision: Accepted.