

journal of

Adolescent and Youth Psychological Studies

www.jayps.iranmehr.ac.ir

Summer (June) 2023, Volume 4, Issue 4, 34-44

Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) on Emotional Processing, Irrational Beliefs and Rumination in Patients with Generalized Anxiety Disorder

Behnam. Sharif Ara¹, Farshid. Khosropour*² & Hamid. Molayi Zarandi³

- 1. Ph.D. student of general psychology, Zarand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zarand, Iran
- 2. *Corresponding Author: Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Zarand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zarand, Iran
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Zarand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zarand, Iran

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article type

Original research

Pages: 34-44

Corresponding Author's Info Email:

Farshid2002@yahoo.com

Article history:

Received: 2022/06/06
Revised: 2022/10/23
Accepted: 2022/11/01
Published online: 2023/06/26

Keywords:

Emotional Processing, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, Irrational Beliefs, Rumination

ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Generalized Anxiety Disorder is one of the most common anxiety disorders. The purpose of this study was to investigate Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) on Emotional Processing, Irrational Beliefs and Rumination in Patients with Generalized Anxiety Disorder. Methods: The research method was quasiexperimental pretest-posttest with a control group. 30 patients with generalized anxiety disorder referred to daily psychiatric treatment centers in Golpayegan in the first half of 2019 were selected and randomly assigned to experimental and control groups. The experimental group received Acceptance and Commitment Therapy program in 8 sessions of 90 minutes. However, the control group did not receive this intervention during the research process. The questionnaires used in this study included Spitzer Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (2006), Baker Emotional Processing Scale (2007), Jones Irrational Beliefs Questionnaire (1968), Nolen-Hoeksema and Morrow (1991) Rumination Questionnaire. The data were analyzed by analysis of covariance using SPSS 24 software. Results: The results of data analysis showed that Acceptance and Commitment Therapy has significantly influenced Emotional Processing (p<0.001), Irrational Beliefs (p<0.001) and Rumination (p<0.001). Conclusion: Considering the effectiveness of acceptance and commitment-based therapy on emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder, it is suggested that training workshops be held to become more familiar with the principles and techniques of this training for counselors and therapists active in the field of this disorder.



This work is published under CC BY-NC 4.0 licence.

How to Cite This Article:

Sharif Ara, B., Khosropour, F., & Molayi Zarandi, H. (2023). Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) on Emotional Processing, Irrational Beliefs and Rumination in Patients with Generalized Anxiety Disorder. *jayps*, 4(4): 34-44.

Introduction

Emotional disorders (anxiety and mood) as common, chronic, costly disorders that weaken the quality and healthy functions of life, cause problems for millions of people in the world every year (Qadri et al., 2020). One of the most common mood disorders is generalized anxiety disorder. This disorder is chronic unpleasant, with persistent and intense anxiety and worry about several events or activities lasting most days for at least 6 months, which is difficult to control. It is characterized by physical symptoms such as muscle tension, irritability, sleep problems, and restlessness (Newman & Przorski, 2018). The overall prevalence of this disorder in Iran is 10% and its one-year prevalence is reported as 5.2% (Qadri et al., 2020). This disorder is associated with increased disability, cognitive impairment, dissatisfaction with life, low productivity and quality of life related to low health, impaired activity and poor job performance (Argesi et al., 2022).

Several mechanisms and risk factors are involved in the development of generalized anxiety disorder. Review of research literature shows: Several biological, cognitive, behavioral. emotional, interpersonal, neurobiological factors, including temperament, behavioral inhibition, negative affect, avoidance of harm, misbehavior, and biases related to emotional information processing, are among the risk factors of this disorder. (Singh, 2022). Emotional processing is considered as the most basic element in the clinical texts of anxiety disorders (McNamara, Cotto, Hajek, 2016). Emotional processing is a process by which emotional disturbance is absorbed and reduced to the extent that other experiences and behaviors can take place without disturbance (Libri, 2019). People vulnerable to mental disorders choose information related to their disorder, store it in their mind and remember that information more. This bias in emotion processing can lead to more bias towards emotional information by increasing negative mood. For example, anxious people remember most of the threatening events, and this remembering causes the continuation of anxiety and its intensity (Dimartini, Patel, Fancher, 2019). Emotional processing is classified in three levels: detection and experience, control and expression, and insufficient processing, and in each of these three levels, it can be deficient (Lee et al., 2022). In this regard, Mehrinejad et al showed in a research that people with generalized anxiety disorder use more ineffective emotional processing styles of disturbance, suppression, lack of control and dissociation. (Mehrinejad et al., 2016).

Among the factors that play a high role in the creation and continuation of anxiety disorders due to negative cognitive evaluations are beliefs (Voso, irrational Geogosopoulou, Cherisouho, Yanakoulia, Pitsavas et al., 2021). Irrational beliefs are complex schemas and structures that represent a person's structured concepts based on reality and behavioral responses to that reality. Irrational beliefs have been defined as dry, extreme and unreasonable beliefs (Voso et al., 2021). According to Ellis, human behavior and emotions are caused by his beliefs, convictions and way of thinking. Anxiety, depression, sadness, anger and fear are all caused by a person's way of thinking and beliefs about the world and others. Ellis called these beliefs irrational beliefs. Irrational beliefs mean desires and goals that become necessary preferences so that if they are not fulfilled, they become anxiety and confusion (Ellis, 2010). Irrational beliefs are not consistent with reality and are based on suspicion, and this causes conflict and prevents a person from successfully dealing with the events and requirements of life (Carbenero et al., 2021). The results of the studies have shown that irrational beliefs play an important role in the occurrence of anxiety, reduced social functioning, depression, anger and guilt, emotional instability, social and emotional compatibility, and mental health (Voso et al., 2021).

Due to the complex and multidimensional nature of generalized anxiety disorder, only drug treatment cannot solve all the patient's problems. In fact, along with drug treatment, the use of psychological treatments can be useful to speed up the treatment process, prevent relapse, and increase the durability of the treatment. Among the treatments whose effectiveness has been shown in the field of anxiety disorders is the treatment based on acceptance and commitment (Keshavarz al.. 2018). et Acceptance and commitment therapy has six central processes that lead to psychological flexibility: acceptance, cognitive dissonance, self as context, connection of conscious attention to the present, values, and commitment to action. Acceptance and commitment therapy

targets the core of problems and its overall goal is to increase psychological flexibility as well as the ability to contact the present moment as fully as possible and change behavior in order to serve values (Harris, 2019). Creating and cultivating "acceptance" and "commitment" in people with generalized anxiety disorder reduces avoidance, tension and depression and avoids a problem-free life in these people. This treatment strengthens the ability to observe without judgment and magnify and accept negative emotions, thoughts and feelings in those who seek treatment, therefore it has an important contribution in regulating emotions and the correct way to deal with negative thoughts and ultimately reducing psychological symptoms (Keshavarz et al., 2018). . In this regard, Keshavarz Afshar et al. showed in a research that treatment based on commitment and acceptance can significantly reduce the general anxiety of the subjects of the test group (Keshavarz et al., 2018). Also, in a research, Demhari et al. showed the effectiveness of treatment based on acceptance and commitment in reducing rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder (Demhari et al., 2018). In addition, in a research, Ruiz et al. pointed to the effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy in reducing the symptoms of patients with generalized anxiety disorder (Ruiz et al., 2020). Generalized anxiety disorder is one of the most common anxiety disorders that, if not properly diagnosed and treated, will have many negative consequences and in addition to individual problems, it will lead to an increase in healthcare costs. On the other hand, the intervention and treatment of generalized anxiety disorder, the high efficiency of modern treatments in the treatment of mental disorders is important. In addition, according to the review of domestic and foreign researches, until now, a research aimed at the effectiveness of treatment based on acceptance commitment on emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder has not been done, and a research gap was felt in this field. Therefore, the current study seeks to investigate the effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy on emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder.

Method

The research method was quasi-experimental with a pre-test-post-test design with a control group. The independent variable was treatment based on acceptance and commitment and the dependent variables were emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. The statistical population of the present study was all people with generalized anxiety disorder who referred to daily psychiatric treatment centers in Golpayegan city in the first half of 2019. To select the sample size, non-random purposeful sampling method was used. For this purpose, after referring to daily psychiatric treatment centers in Golpayegan city and reviewing the files of patients with generalized anxiety disorder, based on the entry and exit criteria, 30 people were selected and randomly replaced in the experimental (15 people) and control (15 people) groups. The entry and exit criteria of this research were: Age range above 18 years, at least diploma education, definitive diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder by a psychiatric specialist, knowledge of the research objectives and consent to participate in the research. Exclusion criteria include: Recurrence of the disease, hospitalization of the patient during the intervention, the presence of a physical illness that prevents participation in treatment sessions, absence in more than 3 treatment sessions, failure to complete the tasks specified in the training course, and the occurrence of accidents were not foreseen. In order to comply with ethical considerations: all subjects received information about the research, they could leave the study at any point in time. They were assured that all information will be kept confidential and will only be used for research purposes. In order to respect privacy, the details of the subjects were not recorded. In the end, informed consent was obtained from all of them. The data were analyzed with the analysis of covariance statistical test in SPSS-24 statistical software.

Materials

1. Generalized anxiety scale: This scale was designed by Spitzer in 2006 to measure the symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder during the last two weeks. This scale has 7 items and is scored on a four-option Likert scale (0=0, few days=1, more than half the days=2, almost every day=3). From the sum of the scores of the seven main questions, the total

score of anxiety is obtained, which has a range from 0 to 21. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the mentioned scale is 0.92, which indicates the excellent internal consistency of this scale in the external sample, and its retest coefficient was 0.83 after two weeks, which indicates the good reliability of the scale. Its convergent validity was reported by calculating its correlation with Beck's anxiety questionnaire and the anxiety subscale of the clinical symptom list as 0.72 and 0.74, respectively, which shows the appropriate convergent validity of the scale (Spitzer et al., 2006). The results of Nainian et al.'s research showed that this scale had a good Cronbach's alpha and the reliability coefficient of the scale was also evaluated based on two tests (Nainian et al., 2011).

- **2. Emotional processing scale:** This scale was created by Bakker et al. in 2007 and has 25 questions. It is graded based on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = not at all to 5 = infinitely) and the range of scores is between 25 and 125. This scale has 5 components of suppression, lack of lack emotion regulation, of emotional experience, signs of lack of emotional processing and avoidance. Construct and content validity has been confirmed by the authors. Cronbach's alpha reliability was reported as 0.81 for suppression, 0.87 for emotion dysregulation, 0.84 for lack of emotional experience, 0.80 for lack of emotional processing, 0.78 for avoidance, and 0.89 for the total. (Baker & Thomas, 2007). Construct and content validity have been Bakhtiarpour's confirmed in research. Cronbach's alpha reliability was reported as 0.80 for suppression, 0.81 for emotion dysregulation, 0.78 for lack of emotional experience, 0.75 for lack of emotional processing, 0.74 for avoidance, and 0.83 for the total. (Bakhtiarpur, 2020).
- **3.** Irrational Beliefs Questionnaire: This questionnaire was prepared by Jones in 1968 to measure irrational beliefs. This questionnaire contains 100 statements and is made of 10 subscales, which are scored in the form of 5 options. A lower score indicates a more rational

person. Scoring is from 1 to 5. At the end, add the person's scores in each subscale to get the person's total score. Jones reported the validity of this questionnaire as 0.92 and obtained the reliability of each of its ten scales from 0.66 to 0.88 (Jones, 1968). In the research of Elekhri Irani and Dehghan Saber (1400), the simultaneous validity of the questionnaire with overt anxiety (r=0.64) and hidden anxiety (r=0.61) was obtained, which indicates the concurrent validity of this questionnaire. The reliability of the questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha method was 0.72, high self-expectations 0.76, tendency to blame 0.70, reaction to failure 0.68, emotional irresponsibility 0.74. The alpha coefficient for excessive worry combined with anxiety was 0.78, problem avoidance was 0.68, dependence was 0.74, helplessness towards change was 0.74, perfectionism was 0.76, and 0.74 was obtained for the whole scale.

- 4. **Rumination Questionnaire:** This questionnaire was compiled by Nolen-Hoeksma and Marvo in 1991 and translated by Bagherinejad et al. in 2019. This scale evaluates negative posterior reactions and consists of two subscales of ruminating responses distracting responses, each of which includes 11 statements. This questionnaire contains 22 statements that are scored according to the Likert scale from 1 (never) to 9 (often) (Trainer et al., 2003). In the research of Aghayousfi et al. (2015), the reliability coefficient of the questionnaire was obtained using Cronbach's alpha method of 0.90, and for its dimensions, it was 0.92 and 0.89. The validity of the questionnaire was reported to be 0.65 by correlating with the metacognitive beliefs questionnaire, which shows that it has high validity.
- **5. Treatment based on acceptance and commitment:** The protocol used in the present study was derived from the treatment protocol based on acceptance and commitment by Hayes, which was performed in 8 sessions of 90 minutes, two sessions in one week and during four consecutive weeks. (Hayes, 2004). In the following, the summary of the sessions of this treatment is presented in Table 1.

Toble 1	The protocol structure of	f the treatment program l	bacad an accontance and	aammitmant
Table I.	The protocol structure o	t the treatment program t	pased on acceptance and	commitment

Tuble 1. The protocol structure of the treatment program based on acceptance and commitment							
Session	Content						
1	Acquaintance - Establishing the therapeutic alliance - Acquiring the client's main goals - Introducing						
	the past dysfunctional system, moving towards control as a problem - Next session assignment						
2	Bridging the previous session and reviewing the task - metaphor of candy jelly - metaphor of						

struggling in the fight with the monster - presenting the concept of experiential avoidance - choosing
desire/acceptance - next session assignment
Bridging the previous session and reviewing the assignment, conceptualization of suffering and pain
and the concept of pure suffering-the assignment of the next session
Bridging to the previous session and reviewing the assignment - the concept of fusion and disfusion -
exercise your mind is not your friend - the metaphor of the lion, the lion, the metaphor of the bus
passengers - the metaphor of the leaves in the flowing stream - mindfulness exercise - the assignment
of the next session
Bridging the previous session and reviewing the task - the concept of the therapist's ability to break
down, thoughts and feelings - practicing the ice cream container - using the word (and) in front of
(but) - I have these thoughts - practicing mindfulness again - homework
Bridging the previous session and reviewing the assignment - the concept of the observer's self - the
metaphor of the chess board and the pieces - the metaphor of the movie screen and the movie - the
metaphor of the car and the road - the metaphor of the chair and the student - the assignment of the
next session
Bridging the previous session and reviewing the assignment - practicing being in the present tense -
examining the goals and values - the metaphor of the burial ceremony and practicing the gravestone -
the next session's assignment
Bridging the previous meeting and reviewing the assignment - teaching commitment - reviewing the
life story - identifying behavioral plans in accordance with the values - summing up

Implementation

Ethical considerations in this research were such that participation in this research completely voluntary. Before starting the project, the participants were familiarized with the specifications of the project and its regulations. People's attitudes and opinions were respected. The members of the experimental and control groups were allowed to withdraw from the research at any stage. In addition, if interested, the members of the control group could receive the intervention performed for the experimental group in similar treatment sessions after the completion of the plan. All documents, questionnaires and confidential records were only available to the executives. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers. In the descriptive analysis of the data, the statistical indices related to each of the research variables were calculated. In the inferential statistics section, analysis of variance with repeated measurements and SPSS-22 software were used.

Results

The findings from the demographic data showed that the people of the research sample had an age range of 20 to 50 years, in which the age range of 20-30 years had the highest frequency (66.7%). On the other hand, these people had

the education level of diploma to master's degree, and the highest number was related to the bachelor's degree (53.33 percent), and they were all married. Before presenting the results analysis of covariance test. presuppositions of parametric tests were assessed. Based on the results of Kolmogorov's test, Smirnov stated that the assumption of normality of sample distribution of data in the variables of emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in the test and control groups in the pre-test and post-test stages is maintained (P<0.05). In addition, assumption of homogeneity of variance was also measured by Levine's test, which: Its results were not significant for the variables of emotional processing (F=1.22 and p=0.3), irrational beliefs (F=1.56 and p=0.22) and rumination (F=2.4 and p=0.1). Therefore, the assumption of homogeneity of variances has been met. The results of the box test indicated that the assumption of homogeneity of the variance matrices was met (P<0.05). Analysis of covariance was used to investigate the effect of treatment based on acceptance and commitment on emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder.

Table 2. The average pre-test-post-test results of emotional processing components, logical beliefs and						
rumination separately between control and test groups						
Variable	Stage	Experimental group			Control group	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Extinction	Pre-test	15/97	2/89	14/86	1/72	
	Post-test	12/13	2/41	15/33	1/63	
Lack of emotion	Pre-test	16/6	3/5	17/6	2/16	
regulation	Post-test	14/66	3/24	18/2	2/51	
Lack of emotional	Pre-test	13/87	1/88	16/93	3/33	
experience	Post-test	11/8	1/85	17/66	3/28	
Signs of lack of	Pre-test	13/93	3/41	16/06	4/46	
emotional processing	Post-test	11/87	3/20	17/26	4/28	
Avoidance	Pre-test	12/06	2/86	2/86	12/06	
•	Post-test	14	3/21	3/21	14	
The need for approval	Pre-test	20	4/07	18/26	2/46	
from others	Post-test	17/86	3/77	18/80	2/62	
High expectations of	Pre-test	19/13	2/89	19	2/5	
yourself	Post-test	16/53	2/97	20/13	2/16	
Tendency to blame	Pre-test	19/26	4/55	18	4/01	
•	Post-test	16/46	3/9	18/76	3/39	
Reaction to failure	Pre-test	17/33	3/1	14/80	3/62	
•	Post-test	14/66	3/57	15/93	3/55	
Emotional	Pre-test	12/4	2/94	13/20	3/54	
irresponsibility	Post-test	10/06	2/81	13/86	3/20	
A lot of worry with	Pre-test	20	2/17	18/80	2/73	
anxiety	Post-test	17/6	2/19	19/6	2/84	
Avoid the problem	Pre-test	21/4	4/74	20/73	4/19	
	Post-test	18/86	5/16	22/20	4/21	
dependency	Pre-test	20/73	2/96	18/40	4/73	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Post-test	17/33	3/39	20	4/35	
Helplessness to change	Pre-test	20/20	3/6	15/73	3/34	
- -	Post-test	17/86	3/48	16/63	3/05	
Perfectionism	Pre-test	15/8	3/42	16/73	3/01	
	Post-test	13/06	3/28	17/53	2/58	
Rumination responses	Pre-test	47/6	5/6	38/4	9/33	
•	Post-test	39/63	6/02	40/53	9/49	
Distracting responses	Pre-test	37/6	8/33	34/93	6/06	
Zistructing responses	Post-test	29/86	6/84	35/86	6/19	
		_,, 50	5.5.	22.00	U. 17	

The results of Table 2 indicate the difference between the pre-test and post-test of the experimental group, but there is no significant

difference in the control group. Analysis of covariance test was used to check the difference of scores in two groups.

Table 3. The results of covariance analysis to check the difference between the groups in the research						
variables						
Variable	SS	df	MS	F	Eta	Sig.
Extinction	4435/22	1	4435/22	195/26	0/76	< 0/001
Emotional	1142/01	1	1142/01	63/03	0/40	<0/001

dysregulation						
Lack of emotional	1776/21	1	1776/21	77/13	0/46	<0/001
experience						
Signs of lack of	1567/41	1	1567/41	70/33	0/44	<0/001
emotional processing						
Avoidance	1357/21	1	1357/21	66/23	0/42	< 0/001
The need for approval	1972/27	1	1972/27	90/43	0/50	<0/001
from others						
High expectations of	2835/15	1	2835/15	120/25	0/64	<0/001
yourself						
Tendency to blame	3608/26	1	3608/26	152/15	0/70	< 0/001
Reaction to failure	2912/06	1	2912/06	135/21	0/66	< 0/001
Emotional	2082/18	1	2082/18	98/17	0/54	<0/001
irresponsibility						
A lot of worry with	2332/98	1	2332/98	103/47	0/58	<0/001
anxiety						
Avoid the problem	2595/72	1	2595/72	113/97	0/62	< 0/001
dependency	4133/4	1	4133/4	186/42	0/74	<0/001
Helplessness to	2067/18	1	2067/18	97/27	0/53	<0/001
change						
Perfectionism	3226/66	1	3226/66	144/6	0/68	<0/001
Rumination responses	5034/4	1	5034/4	254/02	0/84	< 0/001
Distracting responses	4788/13	1	4788/13	225/62	0/80	<0/001

As can be seen in Table 3, there is a significant difference between the averages of the two of the following components. groups Suppression components (F = 195.26 and)p>0.001); lack of emotion regulation (F = 63.03 and p>0.001); lack of emotional experience (F=77.13 and p>0.001); signs of emotional processing failure (F=70.33 and p>0.001) and avoidance (F=66.23 and p>0.001); the need for approval from others (F = 90.43 and p>0.001); high expectations from oneself (F = 120.25 and p>0.001); tendency to blame (F = 152.15 and p>0.001); reaction to failure (F=135.21 and p>0.001); emotional irresponsibility (F = 98.17 and p>0.001); high worry combined with anxiety (F = 103.47 and p < 0.001); avoiding problems (F = 113.97)and p>0.001); dependence (F = 186.42 and p>0.001); helplessness towards change (F=97.27 and p>0.001) and perfectionism (F=144.6 and p>0.001); Ruminative responses (F = 254.02 and p < 0.001) and distraction responses (F = 225.62 and p < 0.001). With these conditions, it can be stated that in the studied groups, there is a significant difference between the average scores of the components of emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in the pre-test and post-test. Therefore, it can be said that the treatment based on acceptance and threat has reduced irrational beliefs and rumination and improved emotional processing in the experimental group compared to the control group in the post-test stage (p<0.05).

Conclusion

The present study was conducted with the aim of treatment based on acceptance and commitment on emotional processing, irrational beliefs and rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. The results of the present study showed that the treatment based on acceptance and commitment led to the improvement of the emotional processing of the subjects in the experimental group. This finding is consistent with the findings of Keshavarz Afshar et al. (2018), Tarkhan (2017) and Ruiz et al. (2020).

In explaining this finding, it can be said that people who have low emotional processing ability, when they are placed in therapy sessions based on acceptance and commitment, they are taught that any action to avoid or control unpleasant and unwanted mental experiences is ineffective. That is, it has the opposite effect and causes their stress to intensify. Therefore, people with generalized anxiety disorder are helped to experience disturbing thoughts simply

as a thought and become aware of the nature of their inefficiency and instead of responding to them, do something that is important in their lives and in line with their values. This type of change in the attitude and relationship of people suffering from anxiety disorders with their inner experiences and avoidances, after some time, makes them turn their minds to their activities, goals and plans and get positive and better emotional results (Keshavarz et al., 2018). . One of the important parts in the treatment based on acceptance and commitment is understanding the adaptive nature of emotions and increasing emotional awareness using various interventions including mindfulness. Mindfulness skill is one of the techniques that predict self-regulated behavior and positive emotional states. On the one hand, this skill causes cognitive evaluation of emotions, reduction of negative emotions, increase of positive emotions and adaptive behaviors. On the other hand, it leads to the awareness of emotions, their acceptance and the expression of emotions, especially positive emotions in different life situations, and as a result, it will lead to an increase in emotional processing skills (Ruiz et al., 2020).

In addition, the findings of the present study showed that the treatment based on acceptance and commitment led to the reduction of irrational beliefs of the subjects in the experimental group. This finding is in line with the research findings of Nikokar et al. (2021), Kohneshin Taremi et al. (2021), Azadi et al. In explaining this finding, it can be said that treatment based on acceptance and commitment instead of ignoring emotions and inner experiences by guiding patients generalized anxiety disorder towards awareness of feelings, emotional experiences, acceptance. Using them properly makes a person establish a proper relationship with his situations and interactions and look at them with a new perspective. This approach emphasizes discovering values and how to create a meaningful life for oneself and family members through the creation of personal values. They consider all their life experiences to find ways to live more efficiently. It encourages people to accept thought processes as a necessary and real function for psychological adaptation, and as a result, negative cognitive schemas are reduced in people and enable people to manage difficult and critical situations more effectively. (Nikopour et al., 2021). Treatment based on acceptance and commitment through training to replace oneself as the context, observing thoughts and feelings and not judging them, separating oneself from thoughts and feelings, prioritizing values, accepting issues and challenges, and adherence and commitment to treatment reduces irrational beliefs. (Ziaei et al., 2021). Treatment based on acceptance and commitment by encouraging people to practice frequently, focusing attention on neutral stimuli and purposeful awareness on the body and mind, frees people with irrational beliefs from mental preoccupation with irrational thoughts; That is, these techniques reduce anxiety and physiological tension in a person by increasing the person's awareness of the experiences of the present moment and returning attention to the cognitive system and more efficient processing of information. As a result, the above factors cause the treatment based on acceptance and commitment to cause irrational beliefs of patients with generalized anxiety disorder (Alipanah et al., 2020).

Also, the findings of the present study showed that the treatment based on acceptance and commitment led to the reduction of rumination in the subjects of the experimental group. This finding is in line with the research findings of Hashim Varzi et al. (2021), Hamidi et al. (2020), Demhari et al. (2018) and Garivani et al. In explaining this finding, it can be said that behavioral commitment exercises along with fault and acceptance techniques, as well as detailed discussions about the values and goals of the individual and the need to specify values, have all led to a reduction in rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. In therapy based on acceptance and commitment, psychological flexibility is: Increasing the client's ability to relate to their experience in the present time and based on what is possible for them at that moment, choose to act in a way that is consistent with their chosen values. Subjects with knowledge of their disturbing thoughts experience them only as a thought and become aware of the dysfunctional nature of their current program and instead of responding to it, they do what is important to them in life and in line with their values. As a result, acceptance and commitment group therapy can help reduce rumination in patients with generalized anxiety disorder (Demherdi et al., 2018). In fact, it was explained to the subjects that internal events, thoughts, feelings and emotions are not problematic by themselves, but the way we relate to these events should be changed. For this purpose, the component of acceptance was introduced in this treatment and metaphors were used by participating in group discussion. Among the metaphors used in this case was being stuck in the swamp. It was explained to the subjects that the more they struggle in this flow, the more they get trapped in the swamp, and this can be a symbol of its conditions during repetition and rumination (Garivani et al., 2021).

Like other studies, the current research has faced some limitations. Among other things, due to the lack of long-term access to patients with generalized anxiety disorder, it was not possible to conduct a follow-up period in order to evaluate the continuity of effectiveness. The target sample was selected only from people with generalized anxiety disorder who referred to daily psychiatric treatment centers in Golpayegan city, and this issue makes the generalization of the results cautious. In line with the limitations of this research, it is suggested to conduct follow-up studies in order to investigate the long-term effects of treatment based on acceptance and commitment, and for greater generalizability, research should be conducted on a larger sample size of people with generalized anxiety disorder. It is suggested that educational workshops be held for counselors and therapists active in the field of this disorder to become more familiar with the principles and techniques of this training.

Conflict of Interest

According to the authors, this article has no financial sponsor or conflict of interest.

References

- Aghayosefi, A., Kharbu, A., & Hatami, H. R. (2015). THE ROLE OF RUMINATION ON PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AND ANXIETY THE SPOUSES'CANCER PATIENTS.
- Alipanah, F., Rezabakhsh, H., Taghilu, S. (2020).

 Compare of the Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Based Couple Therapy Using Schema Conceptualization and Guttman Couple Therapy on Irrational Communication. Journal of Family Research. 16(3):335-357. (Persian.)
- Azadi, S., Khosravi Nia, D., & Azadi, S. (2019). Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment-Based Therapy on Depression

- and Irra-tional Beliefs in Women with Autism. medical journal of mashhad university of medical sciences, 61(supplment1), 28-37.
- Baker, R., Thomas, S., Thomas, P. W., & Owens, M. (2007). Development of an emotional processing scale. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 62(2), 167-178.
- Bakhtiarpour, S. (2020). Modeling structural relationships of meta-cognitive situations with tendency to virtual networks through mediating of emotional processing in gifted students.
- Bakker, A. B., & van Wingerden, J. (2021). Rumination about COVID-19 and employee well-being: The role of playful work design. Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne, 62(1), 73.
- Carbonero, M. Á., Martín-Antón, L. J., & Feijó, M. (2021). Irrational beliefs in relation to certain behaviors in adolescents.
- DeMartini, J., Patel, G., & Fancher, T. L. (2019). Generalized anxiety disorder. Annals of internal medicine, 170(7), ITC49-ITC64.
- Demehri, F., Saeedmanesh, M., & Jala, N. (2018). The effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) on rumination and well-being in adolescents with general anxiety disorder.
- Ellis, A. (2010). Overcoming destructive beliefs, feelings, and behaviors: New directions for rational emotive behavior therapy. Prometheus Books.
- Ergisi, M., Erridge, S., Harris, M., Kawka, M., Nimalan, D., Salazar, O.,... & Sodergren, M. H. (2022). UK Medical Cannabis Registry: an analysis of clinical outcomes of medicinal cannabis therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Expert review of clinical pharmacology, 1-9.
- Garivani, G., Taheri, E., & Alizadeh, Z. (2021). Effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy on the anger rumination, hostile attribution and aggression in immigrant children. Social Determinants of Health, 7, 1-
- GHADERI, F., AKRAMI, N., Namdari, K., & ABEDI, A. (2020). Developing an integrated psychotherapy model of generalized anxiety disorder comorbid with emotional disorders; a qualitative approach.
- Hamidi, M., Shahmoradi, S., Javaheri Mohammadi, A., & Rohbanfard, H. (2020).

- The Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) on Ruminating in Adolescent Female Athletes with Athletic Injury. Journal of Applied Psychological Research, 11(2), 165-180.
- Harris, R. (2019). ACT made simple: An easy-toread primer on acceptance and commitment therapy. New Harbinger Publications.
- Hashemvarzi, M., R., Abbasi, G., Hosseini, S., H.(2021). Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on Psychological Distress and Rumination among Colostomy Patients. Razavi International Journal of Medicine. 9(2):56-60. (Persian)
- Hayes, S. C. (2004). Acceptance and commitment therapy, relational frame theory, and the third wave of behavioral and cognitive therapies. Behavior therapy, 35(4), 639-665.
- Jones, R. G. (1968). A FACTORED MEASURE OF ELLIS'IRRATIONAL BELIEF SYSTEM, WITH PERSONALITY AND MALADJUSTMENT CORRELATES. Texas Tech University.
- KESHAVARZ, A. H., Rafei, Z., & Mirzae, A. (2018). The effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) on general anxiety.
- Koohneshin Taromi, F., Afsharinia, K., & Kakabraee, K. (2021). Comparison of the Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment-Based Therapy (ACT) and Meta-Diagnostic Therapy in Reducing Emotional Problems and Increasing Life Satisfaction in Nurses. Psychological Methods and Models, 12(43), 55-69.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B., Monahan, P. O., & Löwe, B. (2007). Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. Annals of internal medicine, 146(5), 317-325.
- Le Berre, A. P. (2019). Emotional processing and social cognition in alcohol use disorder. Neuropsychology, 33(6), 808.
- Li, R., Yang, J., Li, L., Shen, F., Zou, T., Wang, H.,... & Chen, H. (2022). Integrating Multilevel Functional Characteristics Reveals Aberrant Neural Patterns during Audiovisual Emotional Processing in Depression. Cerebral Cortex, 32(1), 1-14.
- MacNamara, A., Kotov, R., & Hajcak, G. (2016). Diagnostic and symptom-based predictors of emotional processing in generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder: An

- event-related potential study. Cognitive therapy and research, 40(3), 275-289.
- MEHRINEJAD, S. A., FARAH, B. A., & NOROUZI, N. M. (2016). Comparison of attention bias and emotional processing styles in female students with generalized anxiety disorder, with body dysmorphic disorder and inpatient.
- Moin Al-Ghorabaiee, F., Karamloo, S., & Noferesti, A. (2017). Metacognitive Components in Patients With Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Depressed Mood Disorder. Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology, 23(2), 164-177.
- Naeinian, M. R., Shairi, M. R., Sharifi, M., & Hadian, M. (2011). To study reliability and validity for a brief measure for assessing Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7).
- Newman, M. G., & Przeworski, A. (2018). The increase in interest in GAD: Commentary on Asmundson & Asmundson. Journal of anxiety disorders, 56, 11-13.
- Nikookar, Y., Jafari, A., Fathi Aghdam, G., & Poyamanesh, J. (2022). Comparison of the effectiveness of approaches based on acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) and reality therapy on irrational thoughts of female supervisors under the auspices of the Relief Committee. Women and Family Studies, 15(55), 135-152.
- Rodríguez-Menchón, M., Orgilés, M., Fernández-Martínez, I., Espada, J. P., & Morales, A. (2021). Rumination, catastrophizing, and other-blame: the cognitive-emotional regulation strategies involved in anxiety-related life interference in anxious children. Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 52(1), 63-76.
- Ruiz, F. J., Luciano, C., Flórez, C. L., Suárez-Falcón, J. C., & Cardona-Betancourt, V. (2020). A multiple-baseline evaluation of acceptance and commitment therapy focused on repetitive negative thinking for comorbid generalized anxiety disorder and depression. Frontiers in psychology, 11, 356.
- Saber, L. D., & Irani, Z. B. (2020). The Comparison of the Effectiveness of Mindfulness based therapy and spiritual therapy on irrational beliefs and anxiety in the older women. Aging, 6(4), 321-339.
- Singh, N. B. (2022). Generalized Anxiety Disorder Symptoms as a Moderator of Affective Reactions to Perceived Interpersonal

- Behaviors (Doctoral dissertation, Seattle Pacific University).
- Tanha Doust, K., Zahiri Mojdehi, A., Zamani, M., Zabihi Oskooei, F., Amani, O. (2021). The Effects of Acceptance and Commitment Group Therapy on Rumination and Emotional Processing in Women With Generalized Anxiety Disorder. Middle Eastern Journal of Disability Studies. 11(0):6-14. (Persian)
- Tarkhan, M. (2017). The effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) on emotion regulation and life meaning of depressed women. Journal of psychological studies, 13(3), 147-164.
- Treynor, W., Gonzalez, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2003). Rumination reconsidered: A psychometric analysis. Cognitive therapy and research, 27(3), 247-259.
- Vassou, C., Georgousopoulou, E. N., Chrysohoou, C., Yannakoulia, M., Pitsavos, C., Cropley, M., & Panagiotakos, D. B. (2021). Irrational

- beliefs trigger depression and anxiety symptoms, and associated with increased inflammation and oxidative stress markers in the 10-year diabetes mellitus risk: the ATTICA epidemiological study. Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders, 20(1), 727-739
- Vassou, C., Yannakoulia, M., Georgousopoulou, E., Chrysohoou, C., Pitsavos, C., Cropley, M., & Panagiotakos, D. B. (2021). Irrational beliefs as a major risk factor for type 2 diabetes, among people away from the Mediterranean diet; the Attica epidemiological study. Atherosclerosis, 331, e45.
- Ziaee, A., Nejat, H., Amarghan, H. A., & Fariborzi, E. (2022). Existential therapy versus acceptance and commitment therapy for feelings of loneliness and irrational beliefs in male prisoners. European Journal of Translational Myology, 32(1).