


Designing a Model for the Spiritual Elevation of Students with an Emphasis on Outcomes

Ameneh. Sepahvand¹ 



¹ Department of Educational Sciences, Khorramabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Khorramabad, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: amenesepahvand1402@gmail.com

E d i t o r

Seyed Ali Darbani 
Assistant Professor, Department of
Psychology and Counseling, South
Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad
University, Tehran, Iran
Ali.darbani@iau.ac.ir

R e v i e w e r s

Reviewer 1: Parvaneh Mohammadkhani 
Professor, Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Rehabilitation Sciences
and Social Health, Tehran, Iran. Email: Pa.mohammadkhani@uswr.ac.ir
Reviewer 2: Mehdi Rostami 
Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: dr.mrostami@kmanresce.ca

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Consider simplifying complex sentences and using more straightforward language to improve understanding for readers not familiar with the subject matter.

Provide a brief explanation of qualitative methodological terms (e.g., "interpretative content analysis") for readers who may not be acquainted with qualitative research methods.

The literature review is comprehensive, yet it would benefit from:

Including recent studies to underscore the relevance and timeliness of the research question.

A more explicit discussion on how this study fills the identified research gap.

Elaborate on the criteria for participant selection to enhance the transparency of the research process.

Provide more information about the interview protocol, including sample questions, to give readers a better understanding of the data collection process.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Detail the process for ensuring the validity and reliability of the interview data beyond the inter-coder agreement coefficient. Discuss the potential biases and limitations introduced by the purposive sampling method and the study's context (Lorestan province) and how these might affect the generalizability of the findings.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.