Journal of Adolescent and Youth Psychological Studies

Open peer-review report

Journal Website

Prediction of academic well-being based on school atmosphere and identity, family communication patterns and social-emotional competence of secondary school students in Sari city

Fatemeh. Bagheri Khalili¹, Mozhgan. Sepah Mansoor*² & Afsaneh. Ghanbari Panah³

- 1. PhD student in educational psychology, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran 2. *Corresponding Author: Professor of psychology department Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran (Drsepahmansour@yahoo.com)
 - 3. Assistant Professor of Psychology Department, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Editor	Reviewers
Abolfazl Karami	Reviewer 1: Zahra Yousefi
Associate Professor, Department of	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Islamic
Psychology, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran abolfazlkarami1@gmail.com	Azad University, Isfahan, Iran. Email: Z.yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir
	Reviewer 2: Mohsen Golparvar®
	Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Islamic Azad
	University, Isfahan, Iran. mgolparvar@khuisf.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Detail the process for selecting participants to enhance the study's generalizability.

Incorporate visual aids, like graphs, to depict the correlation and regression analyses' results for better understanding. Elaborate on how these findings can inform educational policies and practices to foster academic well-being.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Deepen the exploration of theories surrounding academic well-being, incorporating a wider range of perspectives on how environmental and personal factors interact.

Conduct a broader review of related works, especially those challenging or expanding upon the study's findings, to position the research within the larger academic discourse.



Strengthen the methodological section by detailing the integration of qualitative insights, possibly through interviews or focus groups, to supplement the quantitative data.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

