

The Impact of Interoceptive Awareness on Pain Catastrophizing and Illness Perception

Roodi. Hooshmandi^{1*}, Musheer Abdulwahid. Aljaberi², Fethi. Hammadi^{3,4}, James. Ma⁵

¹ Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

² Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43300 Selangor, Malaysia

³ Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Taiz University, Taiz 6803, Yemen

⁴ PhD student in health psychology, Hassiba Benbouali University of Chlef, Chlef, Algeria

⁵ Rehabilitation Department, York Rehab Clinic, Toronto, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: roosihoshmandi@kmanresce.ca

Editor

Farzad. Goli¹
Professor, Faculty of Energy Medicine
California,
dr.fgoli@yahoo.com

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Seyed Hadi. Seyed Alitabar¹
Instructor, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: hadialitabar@kmanresce.ca
Reviewer 2: Parvin Rafieinia¹
Assistant Professor, Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran. p_rafienia@semnan.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Expand on the theoretical framework underlying the study in the introduction.

Provide a critical comparison of IAT with other interventions in the literature review.

Detail the randomization and blinding procedures to strengthen the methodology section.

Discuss the clinical significance of the findings to complement the statistical analysis.

Conduct a thorough proofreading session to correct typographical errors and standardize reference formatting.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Discuss the scalability and adaptability of IAT in the introduction or discussion sections.

Address potential criticisms and limitations of previous research methodologies in the literature review.

Elaborate on intervention fidelity checks within the methodology section.

Consider the implications of response bias in self-reported measures and reflect this in the discussion of results.

Reorganize the discussion section for enhanced clarity and flow, clearly delineating between main findings, practical implications, limitations, and future research.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.