

Article history: Received 03 May 2023 Revised 13 June 2023 Accepted 18 June 2023 Published online 01 July 2023

Journal of Personality and Psychosomatic Research



Open Peer-Review Report

Motivational Interviewing: Reducing Social Desirability Bias and Stress Vulnerability in Adults

Parichehr. Mehdiabadi¹, Zahra. Yousefi^{2,3*}

¹ School of Psychology, University of East London, London, UK
² Department of Psychology, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan Branch (Khorasgan), Isfahan, Iran
³ Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: z.yousefi@khuisf.ac.ir

Editor	R e v i e w e r s
Stuart Gilmour [®]	Reviewer 1: Asghar Jafari
Department of Global Health	Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Kashan University, Iran. Email:
Policy, University of Tokyo, Japan.	as_jafari@sbu.ac.ir
Email: stuartgilmour@u-tokyo.ac.jp	Reviewer 2: Citra Suraya ¹⁰
	rogram Studi Ilmu Keperawatan, STIK Bina Husada Palembang. Email:
	citra_suraya@yahoo.com

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Introduction (Paragraph 1, Lines 1-7): The introduction would be strengthened by including more recent references beyond 2009 to provide updated context on the developments and applications of Motivational Interviewing (MI).

Introduction (Lines 18-23): Clarify the statement about social desirability by explaining how MI specifically targets and reduces this bias through its methodologies.

Introduction (Lines 34-38): The transition from discussing social desirability to stress vulnerability could be smoother by briefly mentioning why these two constructs were chosen for the study together.

Methods (Study Design, Lines 53-56): Specify the criteria for selecting participants from the general population, including any inclusion or exclusion criteria used.

Results (Lines 153-158): The description of the ANOVA results should include effect size measures (e.g., η^2) to quantify the magnitude of the intervention's impact.

Discussion (Lines 164-169): When discussing the reduction in social desirability, explicitly connect the findings to the mechanisms of MI, such as increased self-awareness and reduced ambivalence.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Methods (Measures, Social Desirability, Lines 63-70): Describe the psychometric properties of the Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale (MC-SDS) in more detail, including its validity and reliability metrics in different populations.

Methods (Measures, Vulnerability to Stress, Lines 71-77): Include the Cronbach's alpha for the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) to provide evidence of its reliability in this study.

Intervention (Lines 84-106): Provide more detailed information on how MI sessions were standardized across participants to ensure consistency in intervention delivery.

Results (Table 1, Lines 138-152): Consider including confidence intervals for the means presented in Table 1 to enhance the robustness of the reported statistics.

Discussion (Lines 170-174): Expand on the implications of reduced stress vulnerability for clinical practice, specifically detailing how MI can be integrated into stress management programs.

Limitations (Lines 192-196): The limitations section should address potential biases due to the lack of intervention for the control group, suggesting future studies could use an active control condition.

Conclusion (Lines 201-207): Strengthen the conclusion by summarizing the practical applications of the study's findings in clinical and research settings, highlighting the benefits for psychological assessment and intervention.

Ethics Considerations (Lines 226-228): Mention the specific ethics committee that approved the study and any reference number associated with the approval to enhance transparency.

Figures and Tables: Ensure all figures and tables have descriptive legends that can stand alone, providing context without referring back to the text. For instance, Table 1 could explicitly state "Mean and Standard Deviation for Social Desirability and Vulnerability to Stress Scores Across Time Points."

Statistical Analysis (Lines 159-163): Include assumptions testing results for ANOVA (e.g., tests of normality, homogeneity of variances) in the main text for clarity.

References (Lines 237-243): Update references to include the latest research articles on MI to reflect current findings and practices in the field.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.