

Article history: Received 01 November 2023 Revised 14 December 2023 Accepted 22 December 2023 Published online 01 January 2024

## Journal of Psychosociological Research in Family and Culture

**Open Peer-Review Report** 



# Influences of Family Structure and Intimacy on Emotional Divorce: A Cross-Sectional Analysis

Shokouh. Navabinejad<sup>1, 2</sup>, Mehdi. Rostami<sup>\*2</sup>, Kamdin. Parsakia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor of Counseling Department, Faculty of Psychology and Counseling, Kharazmi, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

\* Corresponding author email address: mehdirostami@kmanresce.ca

Editor	R e v i e w e r s
Manijeh Daneshpour	Reviewer 1: Jamil A. Malik <sup>®</sup>
Department of Couple and Family	Associate Professor National Institute of Psychology, Quaid-i-Azam University
therapy, Alliant International	Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: ja.malik@nip.edu.pk
University, California, United States	Reviewer 2: Negin Motamed Yeganeh
of America	Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Djavad Mowafaghian Centre for Brain Health,
mdaneshpour@alliant.edu	University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. Email: negin.yeganeh@ubc.ca

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

#### Reviewer:

The manuscript provides a sound theoretical basis for the investigation. Further elaboration on how the constructs of family structure and intimacy specifically influence emotional divorce could enrich the theoretical framework.

The discussion effectively ties the findings back to the literature. Expanding the discussion to consider the implications of these findings for therapy and intervention strategies targeting marital cohesion could be beneficial.

While the manuscript mentions limitations, explicitly discussing the implications of these limitations for the study's findings and providing detailed suggestions for future research could enhance this section.

The manuscript is generally well-organized and clearly written. Minor grammatical and typographical errors should be corrected to improve readability.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

# JPRF

#### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The cross-sectional design and convenience sampling are well-explained. However, discussing the potential limitations of this approach, such as the inability to infer causality, would strengthen the manuscript.

The multiple regression analysis is appropriately conducted. Including additional analyses, such as mediation or moderation models, could offer deeper insights into the mechanisms through which family structure and intimacy impact emotional divorce.

The adherence to ethical guidelines is noted. Further detailing the ethical considerations, especially regarding the sensitivity of the topic and participant confidentiality, would be valuable.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

#### 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

