


Mental Health Interventions in Family Contexts: A Cultural Approach

Elif. Toktas¹ 

¹ Faculty of Sports Science, Physical Training and Sports Department, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

* Corresponding author email address: eliftoktas@akdeniz.edu.tr

E d i t o r

Sefa Bulut¹ 
Department of Counseling Psychology
& Head of Student Counseling Center,
Ibn Haldun University, Istanbul,
Türkiye
sefabulut22@gmail.com

R e v i e w e r s

Reviewer 1: Netty Herawati¹ 
Department of Social Science & Cultural Science. Program Study of Psychology
University of Trunojoyo Madura, East Java, Indonesia.
Email: herawati.netty9@gmail.com
Reviewer 2: Amos Magut¹ 
Department of Psychology, Kisii University, Kenya. magut@kisiuniversity.ac.ke

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The abstract states, "This article explores the importance of culturally adapted mental health interventions in family contexts." It would benefit from a brief mention of key findings or conclusions to provide a clearer summary of the article's contributions.

The article would benefit from a clear theoretical framework. For instance, the sentence, "A family-focused approach considers the family unit's dynamics," could be expanded to include specific theories or models that underpin this approach.

Expand on the practical implications of the findings. For example, the sentence, "Culturally adapted interventions can better address the specific needs and dynamics of diverse families," could include specific recommendations for practitioners.

Authors revised the manuscript.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

In the introduction, the authors mention, "Mental health interventions within family contexts are gaining recognition." It would strengthen the introduction to include specific examples or statistics to support this claim.

The literature review section references Ballal et al. (2019) but lacks a critical analysis of their findings. Adding a discussion on the methodologies and limitations of the referenced studies would enhance this section.

Authors revised the manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.