





## Identifying Family Support Mechanisms in Postpartum Psychological Adjustment

Sharmin. Nasrin<sup>1\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh

\* Corresponding author email address: sharminnasrin@du.ac.bd

E d i t o r	R e v i e w e r s
Salami Mutiu Olagoke  Associate Profesor, Head of Counseling Psychology and Guidance Department, Ibn Haldun University, Turkey salami@fpm.upsi.edu.my	<b>Reviewer 1:</b> Mohammad Hassan Ghanifar  Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Birjand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Birjand, Iran. Email: ghanifar@iaubir.ac.ir <b>Reviewer 2:</b> Keivan Kakabraee  Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kermanshah, Iran. Email: keivan@iauksh.ac.ir

### 1. Round 1

#### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Strengthen this estimate by specifying the timeframe post-delivery (e.g., 6 weeks, 6 months) and clarify whether this includes subclinical or only diagnosable cases.

This is a crucial gap statement; consider further refining it by specifying what types of mechanisms (e.g., emotional attunement, role flexibility) remain underexplored.

Consider elaborating slightly on how often financial assistance was reported and by whom (e.g., parents vs. siblings) to contextualize its prevalence.

This is an insightful argument. You might strengthen it further by contrasting it with the limitations of current screening tools (e.g., EPDS or PHQ-9).

Include 1–2 sociopolitical factors (e.g., austerity, healthcare system structure) that might influence family dynamics, to contextualize findings further.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

## 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Add a sentence here briefly explaining why Greece, despite its strong family structures, presents an important case of contrast or interest.

Clarify how the absence of diagnosis was assessed (e.g., self-report, clinician screening, record review). This detail improves the study's replicability and credibility.

Provide participant code (e.g., P03, P14) for quotations to demonstrate distribution across participants and enhance transparency.

Consider stating how this study extends current theory (e.g., relational quality over quantity, the centrality of timing) to emphasize contribution.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.