




# A Combined Parenting Model for Children's Oppositional Defiance: A Qualitative Study

Fatemeh. Khaghani<sup>1</sup>, Mohsen. Golparvar<sup>2\*</sup>, Zahra. Yousefi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PhD Student in Psychology, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Isf.C., Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: [mgolparvar@iau.ac.ir](mailto:mgolparvar@iau.ac.ir)

E d i t o r	R e v i e w e r s
Christian Wiesner <sup>id</sup> Professor at University College of Teacher Education in Lower Austria <a href="mailto:christian.wiesner@ph-noe.ac.at">christian.wiesner@ph-noe.ac.at</a>	<b>Reviewer 1:</b> Zahra Yousefi <sup>id</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Khorasgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran. Email: <a href="mailto:yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir">yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir</a> <b>Reviewer 2:</b> Mehdi Rostami <sup>id</sup> Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: <a href="mailto:dr.mrostami@kmanresce.ca">dr.mrostami@kmanresce.ca</a>

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

While the definition is accurate, consider citing DSM-5-TR to anchor the description clinically, as the reference list omits direct diagnostic sources.

The inclusion of both international and Iranian databases is commendable; however, indicate the keywords or search syntax used (e.g., “parenting AND oppositional defiant disorder”) to ensure replicability.

The figure effectively visualizes categories but duplicates identical information twice in the text. Remove the repetition for conciseness and ensure figure–text alignment (e.g., uniform capitalization of subthemes).

Consider including one representative quote or excerpt per major theme in an appendix or supplementary table to increase transparency of coding justification.

This section describes themes descriptively but lacks interpretive linkage. Insert short analytical commentary explaining why these subthemes cohere conceptually—what theoretical logic binds them?

The list structure is clear but reads as procedural rather than thematic. Strengthen conceptual integration by articulating the overarching theoretical dimension (e.g., “adaptive behavioral structuring”) underlying these items.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The paragraph integrates many citations effectively but would benefit from distinguishing causal versus correlational findings when linking harsh discipline to ODD. Currently, the causal pathway is implied but not substantiated.

The integration of CBT with parent training is well-stated; however, please specify the empirical base (e.g., number or type of RCTs) supporting “sustainable symptom reduction” to enhance evidence precision.

This section is conceptually strong but repetitive. Consider merging with the earlier discussion on integrative frameworks to improve narrative flow and reduce redundancy.

The description of inclusion criteria is detailed, but sampling strategy remains vague. Please clarify how the 47 sources were selected (e.g., purposive, criterion-based, or theoretical sampling) and whether saturation was considered.

While impressive, a CVI/CVR of 1 across coders is highly uncommon. Provide inter-coder reliability details (e.g., Cohen’s kappa or percent agreement) to substantiate this perfect concordance and rule out confirmation bias.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor’s decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief’s decision: Accepted.