

Article history: Received 28 January 2025 Revised 10 May 2025 Accepted 28 May 2025 Published online 30 May 2025

KMAN Counseling & Psychology Nexus

OPEN PEER-REVIEW



E-ISSN: 3041-9026

An Investigation of the Effects of Group Work Dynamics on Iranian Male and Female EFL Learners' Motivation in Communicative Tasks

Arya. Farshood 6, Behzad. Aghajanzadeh Kiasi 6, Hosein. Siahpoosh 6, Davood. Taghipour Bazargani 6

- Department of English Language, Ard.C., Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran
 Department of English Language, Ra.C., Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran
- * Corresponding author email address: aghajanzadeh1970@yahoo.com

Editor	Reviewers
Şennur Tutarel Kışlak®	Reviewer 1: Karim Afshariniya ⁽¹⁾
Department of Psychology/Faculty	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad
of Language, History and	University, Kermanshah, Iran Email: k.afsharineya@iauksh.ac.ir
Geography, University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey kislak@ankara.edu.tr	Reviewer 2: Keivan Kakabraee ©
	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad
	University, Kermanshah, Iran. Email: keivan@iauksh.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Please more clearly articulate the research gap. While the introduction emphasizes the importance of motivation and group work, it lacks a direct statement about the specific gap in literature regarding Iranian EFL learners and gender-based motivational responses in GWD contexts.

Elaborate more precisely how DST was operationalized in your research design. Currently, the application of DST remains conceptual, not empirical.

Elaborate on the thematic coding process. What coding framework or software was used, and how were themes validated? The use of "fighting" is problematic in academic writing. Consider revising this to "striving for leadership roles" or "assertive behavior."

Provide the interview protocol or a summary of key questions asked, especially those addressing motivational change.

Include statistical significance results alongside descriptive statistics here for clarity. Readers need to know whether this difference is statistically meaningful.

Report effect sizes (e.g., Cohen's d) for both the control and experimental groups to complement p-values and provide insight into the magnitude of effects.



Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

This paragraph digresses into a discussion on ICTs, which are not part of your experimental intervention. Consider removing or tightly integrating it with the study's focus.

The summary lacks a clear statement of the study's hypotheses. Please specify them explicitly here to guide the reader.

Revise "considered of" to "consisted of." This grammatical error affects readability and professionalism.

Please state whether ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board, and include an approval number if applicable.

It's commendable that you corrected your initial interpretation. Still, please cite an appropriate source or methodology guideline on using ANOVA for between-group comparisons in quasi-experimental designs.

While persuasive, this claim would be stronger if supported by interview excerpts or observational quotes directly illustrating these motivational catalysts.

Specify which task types (e.g., comic strip storytelling, information gap) were most effective, based on participant feedback or performance differences.

Response: Revised and uploaded the manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

KMAN-CPN
KMAN-Counseling & Psychology Nexus
E-ISSN: 3041-9026