





Enhancing Perceived Social Support Through Self-Efficacy Workshops: A Randomized Controlled Trial Among Housewives

Erfaneh. Ziabaie Vishkaie¹, Azadeh. Alishiri², Zahra. Nori^{3*}, Mahtab. Moraveji⁴

¹ Master's Degree in Clinical Psychology, Tonkabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonkabon, Iran


² Master's Degree in Clinical Psychology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

³ Master's degree, Clinical Psychology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran


⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran


* Corresponding author email address: znori884@gmail.com

Editor

Firouzeh Sepehrianazar¹
Professor of Psychology
Department, Urmia University, Iran
f.sepehrianazar@urmia.ac.ir

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Kamdin Parsakia¹
Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: kamdinparsakia@kmanresce.ca

Reviewer 2: Hajar Torkan¹
Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan
Branch (Khorasgan), Isfahan, Iran. h.torkan@khuisf.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Elaborate on the specific vulnerabilities or challenges housewives face that make them a particularly important group for this kind of intervention.

Integrate theoretical frameworks or models that could explain how self-efficacy workshops enhance perceived social support. This would help in building a stronger argument.

Incorporate findings from studies with null or negative outcomes related to similar interventions to provide a more balanced overview of the field.

Conduct subgroup analyses to explore the effects of demographic factors on the intervention's efficacy and include effect sizes in the results section.

Simplify the language where possible to make the manuscript more accessible to non-specialist readers and ensure consistent formatting according to journal guidelines.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Provide more detail on the randomization and blinding process to enhance the study's credibility.

Integrate a comparative analysis with similar studies to contextualize the findings within the broader research landscape.

Discuss potential biases and the limitations of self-reported measures to provide a balanced view of the study's findings.

Offer more detailed suggestions for future research, including potential study designs or interventions that could build on these findings.

Conduct thorough proofreading to correct minor typos and ensure all references are accurately formatted.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's (The editor) decision: Accepted.