

Navigating Cancer with Emotional Intelligence: A Study on Self-Care Behavior Enhancement in Women

Asra. Yousefi^{1*}, Majid. Azimi Fereydouni², Hossein. Mokhtari³

¹ Master's degree in General Psychology, Sanandaj branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

² Master's degree, Khomeinishahr Unit, Positive Islamic Psychology, Azad University, Khomeinishahr/ Isfahan, Iran

³ Master of Clinical Psychology, Khomein Branch, Islamic Azad University, Khomein, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: asrayosefi2017@gmail.com

Editor

Niloofar Mikaeili
Professor of Psychology
Department of Mohaghegh Ardabili
University, Ardabil, Iran
nmikaeili@uma.ac.ir

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Ali Khodaei
Department of Psychology, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, Payam
Noor University, Tehran, Iran. Email: alikhodaei@pnu.ac.ir
Reviewer 2: Farhad Namjoo
Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: farhadnamjoo@kmanresce.ca

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The introduction and conclusion sections are well-written but could be refined to more directly link the study's findings with the broader implications for cancer care and patient support programs.

The manuscript is generally well-written, but a thorough proofreading is recommended to correct minor typographical and grammatical errors, ensuring the text's clarity and professionalism.

Some references appear slightly dated, particularly those related to the foundational aspects of EI. Updating these references to include more recent studies could strengthen the background and rationale for the intervention.

While the discussion section touches upon the practical implications of the findings, it could be expanded to more concretely suggest how EI training can be integrated into existing cancer care programs and the potential challenges and considerations for implementation.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The manuscript provides a general overview of the EI training program but lacks detailed information on the specific content covered in each session and how it was delivered (e.g., in-person, online). Providing more detailed descriptions of the training sessions will help in understanding the intervention's components that contributed most to the observed outcomes.

The methods section should include more details on the randomization process, including any stratification or matching procedures used to ensure group equivalence at baseline. Additionally, more information on the recruitment process, such as inclusion and exclusion criteria, would provide clarity on the study population.

The manuscript would benefit from a more in-depth statistical analysis. Specifically, considering the small sample size, a power analysis to determine the study's ability to detect significant differences would be informative. Furthermore, addressing any potential biases and explaining the choice of statistical tests in more detail would strengthen the analysis.

The limitations section could be expanded to address the potential for bias in self-reported measures more thoroughly. Additionally, exploring the generalizability of the findings to broader populations and settings would be valuable. Discussing the intervention's applicability in various healthcare settings or among different cancer types could enhance the manuscript's contribution.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's (The editor) decision: Accepted.