Psychology of Woman Journal

Open peer-review report

The Relationship Between Attachment Styles and Individual Perceptions of God with the Mediating Role of Early Maladaptive Schemas in Women

Zahra. Esfandiari[1], Maryam. Rostam Nezhad[2*]

[1] M.A. of Family counseling, Department of Psychology and Counseling, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonekabon, Iran
[2] PhD in Health Psychology, Department of Psychology, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonekabon, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: rhealthpsycho@gmail.com

Editor	Reviewers
Donatella Di Corrado Associate Professor, Department of psychology, Kore University of Enna, Enna, Italy donatella.dicorrado@unikore.it	**Reviewer 1:** Kamdin Parsakia Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: kamdinparsakia@kmanresce.ca **Reviewer 2:** Farideh Dokanehi Fard Associate Professor, Counseling Department, Roudehen Branch, Islamic Azad University, Roudehen, Iran. Email: f.dokaneifard@riau.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Clearly define what is meant by "early maladaptive schemas" early in the text, as this term is central to your hypothesis and may not be universally understood.

Address the potential limitations of using a convenience sample. Discuss how this might affect the generalizability of the findings and consider suggesting strategies for mitigating this limitation.

Provide more detailed justifications for the chosen methodologies, especially the structural equation modeling. Include considerations on why this method is particularly suited to the analysis at hand.

Ensure all key variables are operationally defined before discussing findings. This includes a detailed breakdown of how "perceptions of God" are measured and interpreted.

Dive deeper into the validation process of the questionnaires used, particularly how they have been adapted to fit the cultural context of the study population, if at all.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2.   Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Clarify the choice of statistical tests and provide a rationale for each, particularly the use of specific models in Amos and SPSS. Include assumptions of each statistical method used.

Provide clearer interpretations of the statistical outputs, particularly explaining the implications of the regression weights and critical ratios found in your results.

The discussion could benefit from a deeper exploration of how the findings relate to existing literature. This could include contrasting your findings with those of similar studies.

Expand on the theoretical implications of your findings, particularly how they might challenge or reinforce existing theories of attachment and perception.

Suggest specific areas for future research that could address unanswered questions or explore other relevant variables.

Offer more detail on the data collection procedures, including how participants were approached and how response rates were managed.

Discuss the implications of the reliability coefficients of your instruments and how they might affect the interpretation of your results.

Enhance the section on construct validity by providing more detailed evidence or studies that support the validity of the constructs used.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2.   Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.
Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.