

Article history: Received 10 March 2023 Accepted 15 May 2023 Published online 01 June 2023

Psychology of Woman Journal

Open peer-review report



Perceived Social Support as a Buffer Against Fear of Intimacy: Evidence from Single Girls

Alireza. Jorjandi Rahmatabadi^{1*}, Zahra. Naqash², Shahnaz. Rahnama Hassanabadi³, Azam. Nazifi⁴, Mahsa. Firuzi Moghadam⁵

Master's Degree in Family Counseling, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran
Master of General Psychology, Isfahan branch (Khorasgan), Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran
Master of Counseling, Marvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran
Master's Degree in Educational Psychology, Payam Noor Golpayegan University, Golpayegan, Iran
PhD Student of Health Psychology, Kish Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kish, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: alireza.jorjandi.92@gmail.com

Editor	Reviewers
Hussein OMAR Alkhozahe	Reviewer 1: Farhad Namjoo
Professor, Department of Sociology,	Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
Al-Balqa' Applied University, Salt,	Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: farhadnamjoo@kmanresce.ca
Jordan	Reviewer 2: Ali Akbar Soleymanian
huss1960@bau.edu.com	Associate Professor of Counseling Department, Bojnord University, Iran. Email:
	Soleymanian@ub.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Strengthen the introduction by clearly delineating the research question, the significance of the study, and the hypothesis at the beginning.

Enhance the literature review by discussing contradictory studies to provide a balanced view of the current research landscape.

Explicitly state the theoretical framework guiding the study. This will help in aligning the methodology and discussion with established theories.

Justify the choice of a cross-sectional design more robustly, discussing its advantages and limitations in the context of the study objectives.

Provide more details about the convenience and snowball sampling methods used, including why these were chosen over other potential methods.





Clarify the inclusion criteria by specifying what constitutes being "single" for the purpose of this study, as relationship status can vary.

Discuss the psychometric properties (e.g., reliability, validity) of the scales used in the study, specifically the Fear of Intimacy Scale and the MSPSS, in the context of this sample.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The abstract should succinctly summarize the study's objective, methods, results, and conclusion. Consider reducing redundancy by merging similar sentences.

Expand the descriptive statistics section to include range and median values for age and scores, which might provide deeper insight into the distribution.

Provide a rationale for the variables included in the regression model and discuss any variables that were considered but not included.

Detail any additional statistical tests used to check the assumptions of the regression analysis, such as tests for outliers or influential points.

Provide a more detailed discussion of the implications of the beta coefficients found in the regression analysis, relating them back to the hypotheses.

Discuss the generalizability of the results to other populations, considering the sample's demographic limitations.

Update references to include more recent studies and ensure all references are accurately cited in the text.

Conduct a thorough language and grammar check to enhance the readability and professional quality of the manuscript.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

