

Article history: Received 11 October 2023 Revised 16 December 2023 Accepted 23 December 2023 Published online 01 January 2024

Psychology of Woman Journal

Open peer-review report



Sociological Analysis of the Comparison of Social Dimensions of Employment in Two Vulnerable Groups (Female Heads of Households and Individuals with Disabilities)

Nasrin. Nargesi Khoramabad¹^(b), Tahmures. Shiri^{1*}^(b), Reza Ali. Mohseni¹^(b)

¹ Department of Sociology, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: shiri.tahmures@gmail.com

Editor	R e v i e w e r s
Donatella Di Corrado	Reviewer 1: Ali Akbar Soleymanian
Associate Professor, Department of	Associate Professor of Counseling Department, Bojnord University, Iran. Email:
psychology, Kore University of	Soleymanian@ub.ac.ir
Enna, Enna, Italy	Reviewer 2: Hajar Torkan©
donatella.dicorrado@unikore.it	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan
	Branch (Khorasgan), Isfahan, Iran. h.torkan@khuisf.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The statement "Studies have shown that women's physical and mental health is not entirely dependent on the diversity of biological risks" would benefit from additional citations. Consider expanding on the specific studies that support this claim to strengthen the argument.

The sentence "Face validity was used to validate the questionnaire" should be expanded to describe the specific process of face validity assessment. Who were the experts, and what criteria were used to judge the relevance of the questions?

The demographic analysis section would benefit from a table that clearly outlines the demographic characteristics of the sample population (e.g., age distribution, education level). This will make it easier for readers to interpret the data.

The p-value for the overall social dimensions comparison (p = 0.083) is reported without sufficient context. It would be helpful to include a discussion about the implications of this near-significance, especially considering the real-world relevance of these findings.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The description of the questionnaire used for data collection lacks details regarding the specific items or types of questions included. Providing an example or summarizing the key items would enhance the clarity and reproducibility of the study.

The phrase "The societal structure makes it even more challenging for housewives who are also the primary breadwinners" could be enhanced by referencing specific social or economic theories that explain this phenomenon. This would provide a more robust theoretical foundation for the discussion.

The statement "Individuals with disabilities are more aware of their individual and social rights compared to female heads of households" requires further exploration. Consider discussing potential reasons for this difference, such as access to education or advocacy groups.

The conclusion mentions the need for "targeted interventions to improve job security and social support," but it would be beneficial to provide more concrete examples of what these interventions might look like. Consider suggesting specific policies or programs that could be implemented.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

