




Comparing the Effectiveness of Quality of Life Therapy and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on Psychological Capital and Forgiveness among Mothers of Children with Stuttering

Setareh. Ataabadi¹, Zahra. Yousefi^{2*}, Felor. Khayatan³


¹ PhD student of General Psychology, Education and Psychology Department, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Isfahan, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Clinical Psychology Group, Education and Psychology Department, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Isfahan, Iran



³ Assistant Professor, Psychology Group, Education and Psychology Department, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Isfahan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: z.yousefi@khuisf.ac.ir

Editor

Donatella Di Corrado¹
Associate Professor, Department of
psychology, Kore University of
Enna, Enna, Italy
donatella.dicorrado@unikore.it

Reviewers

Reviewer 1: Mohsen Kachooei¹
Assistant Professor of Health Psychology, Department of Psychology, Humanities
Faculty, University of Science and Culture, Tehran, Iran. kachooei.m@usc.ac.ir
Reviewer 2: Nadereh Saadati¹
Department of Couple and Family therapy, Alliant International University,
California, United States of America. mdaneshpour@alliant.edu

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Expand on how psychological capital directly impacts the mothers of children with stuttering, integrating more recent studies to provide a robust framework for the interventions chosen.

Clarify why a quasi-experimental design was chosen over a randomized controlled trial, which might be expected given the psychological interventions being tested.

Provide more detail about the sampling procedure. Clarify the methods used for random assignment to ensure there are no baseline differences between groups.

Discuss the rationale behind the control group's treatment. It's crucial to specify whether they received any form of intervention or were simply on a waiting list.

Consider including effect size calculations for each major finding to provide insight into the magnitude of differences observed, enhancing the interpretability of your results.

Elaborate on the choice of psychological scales used. Discuss any validation process these tools underwent specifically within the demographic of your study to ensure relevance.

A longer follow-up period may be necessary to truly assess the sustainability of the therapeutic effects. Discuss the potential for extending this period in future research.

Address the generalizability of your findings to populations outside of Isfahan, or even Iran, considering cultural and socioeconomic differences that might impact the efficacy of the therapies.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Provide a more detailed description of the content and structure of the therapy sessions. This information is crucial for replication studies and understanding the intervention fully.

Elaborate on any non-significant findings and their implications. This could offer a more balanced view of the therapies' effectiveness.

Deepen the discussion on how your findings align or contrast with theoretical expectations. This can help in positioning your study within the broader psychological literature.

Enhance the section on practical implications, specifying how practitioners might integrate these findings into therapy settings, particularly those working with similar populations.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.